Spontaneous and induced emission of high-order harmonics during the abovethreshold ionization of atoms

D. F. Zaretskiĭ and É. A. Nersesov

Kurchatov Institute Russian Scientific-Research Center, 123182 Moscow, Russia (Submitted 17 October 1995) Zh. Éksp. Teor. Fiz. 109, 1994–2004 (June 1996)

Expressions for the probability of the spontaneous and induced emission of high-order harmonics when the emitter phases are synchronized and are obtained in the region where the multiphoton approximation is applicable to the description of the ionization of an atom (where the adiabaticity parameter satisfies $\gamma > 1$). The dependence of these probabilities on the main parameters of the pump wave and the atomic medium is established. Criteria for observing emission are formulated with the consideration of phase locking. The possibility of amplifying a UV probe wave aimed through the region where the atoms interact with the pump wave is considered. © 1996 American Institute of Physics. [S1063-7761(96)00606-3]

1. INTRODUCTION

The generation of harmonics at frequencies corresponding to an odd number of photons of an ionizing laser wave has been investigated in several experimental¹⁻⁴ and theoretical⁵⁻¹⁰ studies. The basic experimental laws governing the dependence of the intensity I_s (s is the harmonic order) on the atom density in the medium being ionized, the focusing of the laser wave, the volume of the region where the atom beam interacts with the wave, etc. have been established.

One of the special features of the generation of highorder harmonics is the nonlinear dependence of I_s on the atomic density n_a of the medium established in Ref. 3. This result was naturally related to the phenomenon of phase synchronism during harmonic generation. In Ref. 9 values of the radiated intensities of different harmonics were obtained as a function of the intensity of the ionizing wave (Nd:YAG laser, $\lambda = 1064$ nm, intensity range from 5×10^{12} to 5×10^{13} W/cm²) by numerically solving Maxwell's equation in a nonlinear medium of Xe atoms. A comparison of the results of the calculations in that paper with the experimental data in Ref. 3 revealed generally good agreement.

In Refs. 10 and 11 the generation of high-order harmonics was studied using the analytical approach previously developed in Ref. 12 to describe the effects of the abovethreshold ionization of atoms. This method is based on a multiphoton mechanism for the ionization of an atom, for which the Keldysh adiabaticity parameter satisfies $\gamma > 1$. It is assumed that after the birth of a photoelectron at the threshold, it gathers additional energy as a result of repeated rescattering on the Coulomb potential of the residual parent ion, which is accompanied by the absorption of quanta of the field. In particular, the main laws governing the harmonic spectrum (the plateau and the cutoff regions) were described within this approach in Ref. 10, and the dependence of the order s_0 of the cutoff harmonic on the intensity I of the laser wave was established. Numerical evaluations of s_0 from the equations in Ref. 10 showed that good agreement both with the experimental results in Refs. 1 and 4 and with the theoretical calculations of other investigators^{13,14} is observed in the region where the theory is applicable.

When phase synchronism of the emitters is ensured under the conditions of an experiment, the generation of highorder harmonics causes the number of photons emitted from the interaction region during a pulse of the laser wave to reach $N_{\nu} \sim 10^3 - 10^7$ (this number is different for different s and depends on the intensity of the wave).³ The data presented in Ref. 15 imply the following dependence of N_{γ} on the intensity I. In the region up to photoionization saturation of the medium during a pulse $(I < I_{sat})$ the familiar powerlaw dependence, i.e., $I_s(I) \propto I^n$, is observed for all the harmonics with small $s < n_0$ (n_0 is the minimal number of photons of the laser wave needed to ionize an atom). For harmonics with large $s > n_0$ this dependence is close to I^{n_0} in the region indicated. Therefore, any discussion of the mechanism of harmonic generation must explain, in particular, the experimental dependence of the number of photons emitted during a pulse on the power of the ionizing wave.

Of course, under the conditions of the experiment in Ref. 3 high-order harmonics can appear as a result of both spontaneous and induced emission. Therefore, when the generation mechanism is considered, the role of the induced processes must be evaluated. This evaluation can be obtained by analyzing the possible amplification of a UV probe wave passing through the focus of the pump wave. The fundamental possibility of amplification is based on the fact that under phase-locking conditions the probabilities of harmonic-generation processes are proportional to the square of the number of atoms N_a in the interaction region, while the probability of the absorption of photons of the frequency range indicated is proportional to the first power of N_a . In modern experiments on atom beams with an atomic density $n_a \approx 10^{17} - 10^{18}$ cm⁻³ this number can reach $N_a \approx 10^{10}$.

In the present work expressions are obtained for the probabilities of the spontaneous and induced emission of high-order harmonics under phase-locking conditions. The dependence of these probabilities on the basic parameters of the pump wave and the atomic medium is established. The question of the possible amplification of a UV probe wave aimed through the interaction region is also considered.

2. BASIC EQUATIONS

We start out from the assumption that harmonic generation is directly related to the above-threshold ionization of atoms. Under this approach the generation mechanism is as follows. The low harmonics are emitted on transitions from excited bound states to the ground state of an atom or as a result of free-free transitions between photoelectron states in the continuum. The high-order harmonics arise in processes involving the direct spontaneous recombination of photoelectrons from highly excited states to the ground state of an atom.

We use the expression ($\hbar = c = 1$)

$$\hat{V}(t) = \frac{e}{m_e} \mathbf{A}(t) \cdot \mathbf{p} + \frac{[eA(t)]^2}{2m_e}$$
(1)

to define the interaction operator of an electron with the pump wave field. The vector potential of the wave is given by the classical expression

$$\mathbf{A}(t) = \frac{A_0}{2} \mathbf{e}[e^{i(\omega t - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})} + \text{c.c.}], \qquad (2)$$

where A_0 is the amplitude of the vector potential, **k** and ω are the wave vector and the frequency of the wave, and **e** is the polarization unit vector (we assume that the wave is polarized linearly along the z axis: $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e}_z$).

The amplitude of the probability of recombination of the system to the ground state of the atoms with the emission of photons having the wave vector **K** and the frequency Ω at the time t is given by the expression¹¹

$$A_{\Omega}(t) = \widetilde{A}_{0} e A_{0\Omega} \sum_{j} \exp[i(s\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K}) \cdot \mathbf{R}_{j}] \xi^{*}(s\omega - \Omega)$$
$$\times \exp[i(s\omega - \Omega - i\widetilde{\alpha})t]. \tag{3}$$

The following notations were used in (3):

$$\widetilde{A}_{0} = \frac{12}{\sqrt{2} \ln 4} \alpha(\mathbf{e}_{\Omega} \mathbf{e}) \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon \omega^{4}}{\mathrm{Ry}^{5}}} J_{1}(z') J_{n_{0}/2-1}(z)$$
$$\times \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi(n) \sum_{q,q'=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{q} J_{2q}(z'\sqrt{n}) J_{2q'}(z) \right),$$

where

$$\Phi(n) = \frac{\exp[-n/\ln(4n)]}{\sqrt{n}[1+(n\omega/\operatorname{Ry})^2]} \left[\frac{\operatorname{Ry}}{\omega} \left(\frac{ez'\ln(4n)}{4n}\right)^2\right]^{n/2}$$

is a function which describes the envelope of the maxima in the spectra of the photoelectrons appearing as a result of above-threshold ionization; $s=n_0+n+2q+4q'$ gives the harmonic order in the emission spectrum; $n_0 = \langle \tilde{I}_0/\omega + 1 \rangle$ [here $\tilde{I}_0 = I_0 + U_p$ is the binding energy of an electron in an atom with consideration of the mean vibrational energy in the field of the pump wave $U_p = (eE_0)^2/4m_e\omega^2$, where E_0 is the amplitude of the electric field strength in the wave and $\langle \dots \rangle$ is the whole part of the number]; $A_{0\Omega}$ and e_{Ω} are the amplitude of the vector potential and the polarization unit vector of the emitted wave with a frequency Ω ; $\epsilon = n_0 \omega - \tilde{I_0}$ is the amount by which the energy of n_0 photons of the wave exceed the ionization threshold (to be specific, we assume that n_0 is even);

$$z' = 2eE_0 \chi/\sqrt{2m_e\omega}, \quad z = (eE_0 \chi)^2/8m_e \omega = U_p/2\omega$$

are dimensionless parameters, which specify the intensity of the interaction of an electron with the ionizing wave in accordance with the operator (1); $\chi = 1/\omega$; $J_m(x)$ is a Bessel function; \mathbf{R}_j is the radius vector of the *j*th atom (residual ion) and the summation over *j* in (3) is carried out over all the atoms in the volume where the medium interacts with the pump wave; $\alpha = e^2/\hbar c$ is the fine-structure constant; $\xi^* = \mathcal{P}/x + i\pi\delta(x)$; $\mathrm{Ry} = m_e e^4/2\hbar^2 = 13.6 \,\mathrm{eV}$; the parameter $\widetilde{\alpha} \approx +0$ corresponds to the adiabatic switching on of the wave field as $t \rightarrow -\infty$

Expression (3) leads to a formula for the probability of a transition to a partial final state per unit time

$$\frac{d}{dt}|A_{\Omega}(t)|^{2} = \widetilde{A}_{0}^{2}(eA_{0\Omega})^{2} \left| \sum_{j} \exp[i(s\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K}) \times \mathbf{R}_{j}] \right|^{2} 2\pi\delta(s\omega - \Omega).$$
(4)

Equation (4) is the basis for further calculations and is used to derive the probabilities of both the spontaneous and induced emission of harmonics.

3. PROBABILITY OF SPONTANEOUS EMISSION

In the case of the spontaneous emission of the sth harmonic of the frequency ω ($\Omega = s\omega$), the quantity $eA_{0\Omega}$ in (4) should be replaced by the expression $eA_{0\Omega} = \sqrt{8 \pi \alpha / \Omega V}$, where V is the normalized volume of the spontaneous emission field. When the transition probability is obtained, the delta function in (4), which gives the energy conservation law, is replaced by integration over the statistical weight of the photon emitted with the parameters **K** and Ω . As a result the probability of the spontaneous recombination of the system to the ground state of the atoms per unit time is given by the expression

$$w_{\rm sp}^{(s)} = 4\alpha \widetilde{A} \,_{0}^{2} s \omega \int_{(4\pi)} \left| \sum_{j} \exp[i(s\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K}) \cdot \mathbf{R}_{j}] \right|^{2} d\Omega_{\mathbf{K}}.$$
(5)

in which the integration is carried out over the scattering directions of the photon with the parameters K and Ω .

The ensuing calculations are easily performed in the continuous-medium approximation (the criterion is formulated below), in which summation over the atoms within the interaction volume leads to the following result

$$\sum_{j} \exp[i(s\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{K}) \cdot \mathbf{R}_{j}] \Big|^{2} \approx \left(\frac{\pi}{6}\right)^{2} (V_{\text{int}}n_{a})^{2} \left[\frac{2J_{1}(v)}{v}\right] \Big|^{2} \frac{\sin^{2} u}{u^{2}}.$$

The following notations were introduced in (6): $V_{inst} = \pi \rho_0^2 l$ is the volume of the region where the atomic medium interacts with the laser radiation [here ρ_0 is the radius of the focus in the plane with the coordinate x=0, l is the longitudinal dimension of the interaction region in the direction of propagation of the wave, which is specified by the condition $l=\min(L,d)$, where L is the confocal parameter and d is the diameter of the atomic beam aimed transversely toward the wave],

$$v = \frac{2\pi s}{\lambda_0} \rho_0 \theta, \ u = \frac{\pi s}{2\lambda_0} (\theta^2 - \theta_0^2) l,$$

where λ_0 is the laser wavelength in a vacuum and $\theta_0^2 \equiv 2|\Delta n|$ ($\Delta n = n_\omega - n_\Omega$ is the difference between the refractive indices of the medium for waves of the respective frequencies; as the results of the numerical calculations in Ref. 9 under the conditions of the experiment in Ref. 3 showed, the dominant contribution to Δn is made by the free electrons).

The expression (6) is valid provided $|s\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}|a \ll 1$, or, with consideration of the parameter Δn just introduced,

$$\frac{|\Delta n|a}{\lambda_0/s} \ll 1$$

(*a* is the mean distance between atoms of the medium; in the continuous-medium approximation). The value of $|\Delta n|$ can be determined using the expression $|\Delta n| = \omega_p^2 / \omega^2$, where $\omega_p = \sqrt{4\pi n_i e^2/m_e}$ is the plasma frequency of the ionized medium (n_i is the ion density in the medium, which is equal to the electron density: $n_i = n_e$).

As follows from (5) and (6), the angular density of the intensity of the spontaneous emission is given by the product of two diffraction factors:

$$\frac{dI_s}{d\omega d\Omega_{\mathbf{K}}} \propto \left[\frac{2J_1 \left(\frac{2\pi s}{\lambda_0} \rho_0 \theta \right)}{\frac{2\pi s}{\lambda_0} \rho_0 \theta} \right]^2 \frac{\sin^2 \left[\frac{\pi s}{2\lambda_0} (\theta^2 - \theta_0^2) l \right]}{\left[\frac{\pi s}{2\lambda_0} (\theta^2 - \theta_0^2) l \right]^2}.$$
(7)

Far from saturation, where the concentration of photoelectrons is small, $\theta_0 \approx 0$, and the maxima of these functions coincide in the direction of propagation of the pump wave $\theta = 0$. In the case of an ionizing wave of high intensity, in which the ionization of the medium is close to saturation $(n_i \leq n_a)$, we have $\theta_0 \neq 0$, and the values of θ which give the maxima of the diffraction factors are different. For this reason, the total intensity of the spontaneous emission I_s is sensitive to the value of θ_0 and the ratio between this parameter and the angular widths of the diffraction factors.

In the case of $\theta_0 \approx 0$ (the smallness criterion is formulated below) the angular widths associated with the finite dimensions of the focal region in the longitudinal and transverse directions are defined, respectively, by the formulas

$$\Delta \theta_{\parallel} \approx \sqrt{\frac{2\lambda_0/s}{l}} \text{ and } \Delta \theta_{\perp} \approx \frac{\lambda_0/s}{\rho_0}.$$
 (8)

A comparison of the widths (8), in particular, for the parameters of the laser and the atom beam used in Ref. 3 leads to the inequality $\Delta \theta_{\parallel} > \Delta \theta_{\perp}$. For this reason, the total number N_{γ} of photons of a given harmonic emitted during a pulse of the pump wave is given by the following relation, which follows from (5):

$$N_{\gamma} \propto \frac{\sin^2(\pi l/L_{\rm coh})}{(\pi l/L_{\rm coh})^2},\tag{9}$$

where

$$L_{\rm coh} = \frac{2\lambda_0}{s\theta_0^2} = \frac{\pi m_e}{se^2\lambda_0 n_i} \tag{10}$$

is the coherence length of the emitters in the direction of propagation of the waves, which depends on the harmonic order and the extent of ionization of the medium.

According to (9), phase locking is fully realized, if the condition $L_{\rm coh} > l$ holds. This relation, which is written in the form of an inequality

$$n_i < \frac{\pi m_e}{se^2 \lambda_0 d},\tag{11}$$

imposes an upper bound on the intensity of the laser radiation. No direct measurements of the density n_i of the ions formed in the medium were performed in Ref. 3, but a numerical evaluation for the parameters in that paper gives $n_i < 10^{18}/ \text{ s} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$.

When the condition $\theta_0 \leq \Delta \theta_{\perp}$ holds, photons of the *s*th harmonic are emitted in the direction of propagation of the pump wave within the solid angle

$$\pi(\Delta \theta_{\perp})^2 \approx \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{s \rho_0} \right)^2.$$

Here the total probability of spontaneous recombination of the system per unit time with the emission of photons of the sth harmonic appears as a result of the integration in (5) and is given by the expression

$$w_{\rm sp}^{(s)} = 2\pi\alpha^{3} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\ln 4}\right)^{2} \varepsilon \left(\frac{\omega}{\rm Ry}\right)^{5} [J_{1}(z')J_{n_{0}/2-1}(z)]^{2} \\ \times \frac{1}{s} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{2} \Phi(n)\sum_{q,q'} (-1)^{q} J_{2q}(z'\sqrt{n}) J_{2q'}(z)\right)^{2} \\ \times (\rho_{0} l \lambda_{0} n_{a})^{2}, \qquad (12)$$

If the condition for phase locking does not hold $(L_{coh} \ll l)$, the probability of spontaneous emission is proportional to the first power of n_a . The ratio of the probability (12) to the analogous probability obtained in the absence of phase locking of the emitters is given by the parameter

$$V_{\rm int} n_a (\Delta \theta_\perp)^2 \approx \frac{l \lambda_0^2 n_a}{s^2}.$$
 (13)

In particular, for the data from Ref. 3 this ratio has a sizable value ($\sim 10^7$).

Let us now consider the dependence of $w_{sp}^{(s)}$ (10) on the intensity *I* of the laser wave. This dependence is determined mainly by the factor $J_{n_0/2-1}^2(z)$, since the remaining factors scarcely vary with *I*. Bearing in mind that we have $z \le I$ and

 $n_0 \ge 1$ under the real conditions of the experiment in Ref. 3, from the asymptotic behavior of the Bessel function for a large index we find

$$w_{\rm sp}^{(s)} \propto I^{n_0}. \tag{14}$$

This dependence was established in Ref. 15 for the case of Xe atoms in the range of intensities of the laser wave where the photoionization process has a multiphoton character $(\gamma > 1)$.

4. PROBABILITY OF STIMULATED EMMISSION

Let us consider the amplification effect when a weak probe wave of intensity I_0 with a frequency $\Omega = s\omega$ is aimed into the interaction region. We restrict ourselves to the approximation in which the field is prescribed, assuming that the increment of the number of photons over the length of the interaction region is less than the number of photons in the amplified wave. To ensure optimum amplification we assume that the probe wave is aimed strictly in the direction of propagation of the pump wave ($\theta = 0$). As is usually done in problems on induced emission, to obtain the probability of the process the delta function in (4), which gives the energy conservation law, is replaced by integration over the distributions of the interacting objects, in particular, over the spectral distribution of the intensity of the pump wave. This presumes a stationary regime for the probe wave or a high degree of monochromaticity for the external source of that wave, so that $\Delta \Omega \ll \Delta \omega \sim 1/\tau_p$, where τ_p is the pulse duration of the pump wave.

We describe the spectral distribution of the intensity of the laser wave by a simple Gaussian law with a half-width $\Delta \omega$. The probability of induced emission per unit time obtained from (4) for a system of atoms distributed over a length x in the direction of propagation of the waves equals

$$w_{\rm in}^{(s)} = \frac{(2\pi)^{3/2}}{36} \alpha \lambda_0^2 \tilde{A}_0^2 \frac{1}{s^3} (V_{\rm int} n_a)^2 \times \tau_i \left(\frac{x}{l}\right)^2 \frac{\sin^2(\pi x/L_{\rm coh})}{(\pi x/L_{\rm coh})^2} I_0.$$
(15)

We note that the probability (15) and, therefore, the increase in the intensity of the probe wave are quadratically dependent on x. This dependence is a consequence of the phase locking of the emitters. In the case of independent emitters the probability of the process is known to be linearly dependent on x.

A comparison of (12) and (15) makes it possible to relate the two probabilities:

$$w_{\rm in}^{(s)} = w_{\rm sp}^{(s)} \frac{\rho_0^2 \tau_i}{s^2 \omega} \left(\frac{x}{l}\right)^2 \frac{\sin^2(\pi x/L_{\rm coh})}{(\pi x/L_{\rm coh})^2} I_0.$$
(16)

We consider two limiting cases: a) $L_{\rm coh} > l$ and b) $L_{\rm coh} < l$. In the first case from (16) we obtain the relation between the probabilities in the entire reaction volume:

$$w_{\rm in}^{(s)} = w_{\rm sp}^{(s)} \frac{\rho_0^2 \tau_i}{s^2 \omega} I_0.$$
 (17)

1076 JETP 82 (6), June 1996

As follows for (17), the dependence of the probability of induced emission on the pump wave power in the case of phase locking of all the emitters in the interaction region with the waves has the same form as for the probability of spontaneous emission ($\propto I^{n_0}$).

In the second case the factor

$$\left(\frac{x}{l}\right)^2 \frac{\sin^2(\pi x/L_{\rm coh})}{(\pi x/L_{\rm coh})^2}$$

in (16) is replaced by the ratio $(L_{\rm coh}/l)^2$, and, as a result, the probability of induced emission increases with increasing pump wave power as I^{-n_0} .

5. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS; CONCLUSIONS

The basic expressions for the probabilities of the emission of high-order harmonics were obtained under the assumption that the generation of these harmonics is directly related to the above-threshold ionization of atoms. A comparative evaluation of the amplitude of the oscillations a_{osc} of a photoelectron in the external wave with a mean distance a between the atoms of the medium takes on fundamental significance in the context of this approach. Evaluating a_{osc} from the formula $a_{osc} = eE_0/m_e\omega^2$, we can easily see that the value $a_{osc} \sim 10^{-7}$ cm for the laser wave intensities under consideration $(I \sim 10^{13} \text{ W/cm}^2)$ is an order of magnitude smaller than $a \approx 10^{-6}$ cm $(n_a = 5 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ in Ref. 3})$. It follows from these estimates that the extraction of energy by a photoelectron after ionization occurs as result of rescattering on the Coulomb potential of its own residual ion.

For phase locking to occur during harmonic generation, a fixed phase must be maintained for the emitters over the course of the time for recombination of the system. Collisions of the atoms with one another are the main factor which can lead to phase relaxation. The mean free path of the atoms estimated via gas-kinetic arguments is $\lambda_{sc} \sim 10^{-4}$ cm for the parameters from Ref. 3. Accordingly, the mean time between two successive collisions is $\tau_{sc} \sim 10^{-8}$ s (for atoms with thermal velocities). This time is far greater than the pulse duration $\tau_p \approx 4 \times 10^{-11}$ s from Ref. 3, and, thus, recombination occurs in the photoelectron-ion system from states of electrons with a fixed phase appearing as a result of above-threshold ionization.

The probability (12) of the spontaneous emission of the *s*th harmonic was obtained assuming a plane pump wave. This assumption is valid when the width of the angular spread of the wave vectors θ_f , which is related to the focusing of the laser wave, satisfies the condition $\theta_f < \theta_0$, $\Delta \theta_{\perp}$. In the nearby diffraction zone (according to the conditions of the experiment in Ref. 3, the diameter *d* of the atom beam is less than the confocal parameter *L*) the maximum width of the angular spread can be evaluated using the formula

$$\theta_f \approx \frac{d\lambda_0^2}{(2\pi)^2 \rho_0^3}.$$
(18)

In a comparatively weak field $(\theta_0 \le \Delta \theta_{\perp})$ the condition $\theta_f \le \Delta \theta_{\perp}$ leads to an upper bound on the harmonic order *s* up to which the approximation used is valid:

$$s < \frac{(2\pi)^2 \rho_0^2}{d\lambda_0}.$$
 (19)

For the parameters from Ref. 3 ($\rho_0 = 18\mu$, d=1 mm, $\lambda_0 = 1064$ nm) this condition holds up to $s \approx 15$.

In a strong field $(\theta_0 > \Delta \theta_\perp)$, in which the main cause of the breakdown of phase locking is the difference between the refractive indices Δn of the pump wave and the emitted harmonic, a lower bound on the ion density follows from the condition $\theta_0 > \theta_f$:

$$n_i > \left(\frac{d\lambda_0}{2\rho_0^3}\right)^2 \frac{m_e}{(2\pi)^3 e^2}.$$
 (20)

This condition leads to the numerical estimate $n_i > 10^{16}$ cm⁻³ for the parameters from Ref. 3. It is noteworthy that the estimates of the permissible values of n_i following from (11) and (20) are compatible.

Breakdown of the phase locking of the emitters can be associated not only with the focusing effect, but also with nonmonochromaticity of the pump wave. In this case the longitudinal length L_{eff} over which coherence of the emitters is maintained, is given by the relation

$$s \frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega} \frac{L_{\rm eff}}{\lambda_0} \sim 1,$$
 (21)

where $\Delta \omega / \omega$ is the frequency spread of the pump wave, which is determined by the pulse duration. For the data from Ref. 3, L_{eff} is of the order of the longitudinal length of the focus $L \approx 4$ mm.

The dependence of the intensity I_s of the *s*th harmonic on the position of the atom beam relative to the center of the focus of the laser wave can be established using relations obtained in the present work. As follows from (5) and (6), this intensity is given by the dependence

$$I_s \propto I^{n_0} \frac{\sin^2(\pi l/L_{\rm coh})}{(\pi l/L_{\rm coh})^2}.$$
(22)

In the case of a weak wave $(L_{coh} \ge l)$, as has already been noted, $I_s \propto I^{n_0}$. Therefore, displacement of the beam from the center of the focus results in a monotonic decrease in the intensity of the harmonics. In the case of a high-intensity wave, in which $L_{\rm coh} < l$ holds at the center of the focus, this dependence has a different character: $I_s \propto I^{n_0}(L_{\rm coh}/l)^2$ $\propto I^{-n_0}$. Under these conditions an increase in the distance of the beam from the center of the focus can be accompanied by an increase in I_s . This increase will occur up to the distances from the center of the focus at which the local intensity of the wave field reaches values corresponding to the condition $L_{\rm coh} \sim l$. Further displacement of the beam toward the periphery will reproduce the picture obtained using perturbation theory: a monotonic decrease in I_s with increasing distance from the center of the focus. These qualitative arguments were confirmed by the numerical calculations in Ref. 9.

Let us now proceed to a discussion of the results obtained for induced emission. As follows from (17), for the parameters from Ref. 3 ($\tau_p=36$ ps and a harmonic order s=29) the probabilities of spontaneous and induced emission are comparable in value even when the intensity of the probe wave is $I_0 \approx 1$ W/cm². This intensity corresponds to $\sim 10^2$ photons of the *s*th harmonic emitted during a pulse from the interaction volume. As was noted above, the number of photons measured in Ref. 3 during a pulse for the value of *s* selected is $N_{\gamma} \approx 10^4$. Therefore, it should be expected that under the conditions of the experiment in Ref. 3 spontaneous and induced emission make comparable contributions to the total number of photons emitted.

To separate the induced emission and evaluate its probability, it would be reasonable to perform the following experiment. Two identical nonoverlapping atom beams with a certain distance δ between the beam centers ($\delta > 2d$) are aimed into the focus of the pump wave in a direction perpendicular to the direction of propagation of the wave. To simplify the ensuing evaluations, we shall assume that the beams are positioned symmetrically on the two sides of the center of the focus.

The number of photons of the sth harmonic emitted during the pulse in the direction of propagation of the pump wave is measured first when one beam is injected (we denote this number by N_{γ_1}). At this point it must be proved that the quanta are emitted under phase-locking conditions. Then the number of photons of that harmonic is measured when both beams are injected simultaneously (we denote this number by N_{γ_2}). The radiation emerging from the first beam effectively plays the role of a probe wave aimed into the region where the pump wave interacts with the second beam. If the time for relaxation of the system to the ground state with the emission of photons of the harmonic under consideration, which is denoted by τ_r , is less than the pulse duration τ_p of the laser wave ($\tau_r < \tau_p$), the intensity of the probe wave I_0 , which is related to N_{γ_1} , equals

$$I_0 = \frac{N_{\gamma_1} s \omega}{\pi \rho_0^2 \tau_i}.$$
 (23)

If the beams emit independently (if the condition $L_{\rm coh} < \delta$ holds) the ratio between N_{γ_2} and N_{γ_1} can be estimated in accordance with (17) and (23) using the relation

$$\frac{N_{\gamma_2}}{N_{\gamma_1}} \approx 2 + \frac{N_{\gamma_1}}{\pi s}.$$
(24)

We note that this relation holds in the prescribed-field approximation for the probe wave provided that we have $\tau_p > \tau_r$, δ/c , where the parameter δ/c defines the time needed for radiation to propagate between the beams.

In the other limiting case, $\tau_r > \tau_p$, δ/c , the ratio $N_{\gamma_2}/N_{\gamma_1}$ is given by a different relation:

$$\frac{N_{\gamma_2}}{N_{\gamma_1}} \approx 2 + \frac{N_{\gamma_1}}{\pi s} \frac{\tau_i}{\tau_r}.$$
(25)

Finally, when the inequality $\delta/c > \tau_p$, τ_r holds, the beams emit independently, and $N_{\gamma_2}/N_{\gamma_1} = 2$.

The correction to the first term in (24) and (25) associated with the induced radiation is of order unity even when we have $N_{\gamma_1} \sim 10^2$ and s = 29. Hence it follows that appreciable amplification of the intensity of the high-order harmonics by the induced emission can be obtained when the experiment just described is carried out. We stress again that for (24) and (25) to be valid, the distance δ between the beams must be such that the time for propagation of a light pulse between them would be shorter than the relaxation time of the system τ_r . The measurements of the number of photons obtained as a result of variation of this distance (while the remaining parameters of the problem are left unchanged) can serve as a basis for the experimental evaluation of τ_r .

The relations (24) and (25) are valid when the role of the probe wave in the amplification scheme is played by spontaneous emission appearing under the condition $\delta > L_{coh} > l$. If a quasimonochromatic probe wave from an external source is used for amplification, the probability of induced emission depends on the coherence length L'_{coh} of that wave. When a source with a degree of nonmonochromaticity for which $a \ll L'_{coh} < l < L_{coh}$ is employed, the relation (17) between the probabilities is replaced by

$$w_{\rm in}^{(s)} = w_{\rm sp}^{(s)} \frac{\rho_0^2 \tau_{\rm coh}}{8 \, s \, \omega} \left(\frac{L'_{\rm coh}}{l} \right) I_0, \qquad (26)$$

where $\tau_{\rm coh} \approx L'_{\rm coh}/c$.

If the coherence length $L'_{\rm coh}$ tends to zero (more precisely, becomes of the order of the wavelength λ_0/s of the harmonic), the phase synchronism of the emitters in the induced processes vanishes, and the relations obtained in this work become meaningless. In conclusion, we thank P. Agostini and J. Peatross for discussing the results of this work.

- ¹A. McPherson, G. Gibson, H. Jara *et al.*, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **4**, 595 (1987).
- ²M. Ferray, A. L'Huillier, L. A. Lompre et al., J. Phys. B 21, L31 (1988).
- ³X. Li, A. L'Huillier, M. Ferray et al., Phys. Rev. A 39, 5751 (1989).
- ⁴K. Miyazaki and H. Sackai, J. Phys. B 25, L83 (1992).
- ⁵L. Pan, K. T. Taylor, and C. W. Clark, Phys. Rev. A 39, 4894 (1989).
- ⁶J. H. Eberly, Q. Su, and J. Javanainen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 881 (1989); J. Opt. Soc. Am. B **6**, 1289 (1989).
- ⁷K. C. Kulander and B. W. Shore, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 7, 502 (1990); Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 524 (1989).
- ⁸P. B. Corkum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1994 (1993).
- ⁹A. L'Huillier, P. Balcou, S. Candel et al., Phys. Rev. A 46, 2778 (1992).
- ¹⁰E. A. Nersesov and D. F. Zaretsky, Laser Phys. 3, 1105 (1993).
- ¹¹D. F. Zaretsky and E. A. Nersesov, Zh. Éksp. Teor. Fiz. **107**, 79 (1995) [JETP **80**, 41 (1995)].
- ¹²D. F. Zaretsky and E. A. Nersesov, Zh. Éksp. Teor. Fiz. **103**, 1191 (1993) [JETP **76**, 583 (1993)].
- ¹³J. L. Krause, K. J. Shafer, and K. C. Kulander, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 3535 (1992).
- ¹⁴J. L. Krause, K. C. Kulander, and K. J. Shafer, Phys. Rev. A 45, 4998 (1992).
- ¹⁵ A. L'Huillier, L. A. Lompre, G. Mainfray, and C. Manus, in *Proceedings* of the 5th International Conference on Multiphoton Processes (Paris, 1990), p. 45.

Translated by P. Shelnitz