

Axion synchrotron emission: a new bound on the axion-electron coupling constant

A. V. Borisov and V. Yu. Grishina

M. V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119899 Moscow, Russia

(Submitted 15 July 1994)

Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **106**, 1553–1558 (December 1994)

We have calculated the $e \rightarrow e + a$ synchrotron contribution Q_a to the axion luminosity of a magnetized, highly degenerate relativistic electron gas. By comparing Q_a expected under neutron star conditions with the known luminosity accounted for by neutrino synchrotron emission ($e \rightarrow e + \nu + \bar{\nu}$), we have obtained a new and more stringent bound on the axion electron coupling constant, $g_{ae} \lesssim 5 \cdot 10^{-14}$. © 1995 American Institute of Physics.

1. The axion is a pseudo-Goldstone boson invoked to address the *a priori* strong breaking of CP invariance in the standard model. It results from the spontaneous breaking of the global $U(1)_{PQ}$ symmetry introduced by Peccei and Quinn.¹ In the standard axion model,² it is assumed that the energy scale v_a for PQ symmetry breaking is of the same order as the electroweak scale $v_w = (\sqrt{2}G_F)^{-1/2} \approx 250$ GeV, where G_F is the Fermi constant. That model has already been invalidated by experiment,³ a fact responsible for the appearance of various invisible axion models, in which $v_a \gg v_w$ (these have been surveyed in Ref. 4).

The interaction of axions a and fermions f [in units with $\hbar=c=1$ and a metric with signature $(+---)$] is given by the Lagrangian Eq. (1)

$$\mathcal{L}_{af} = \frac{g_{af}}{2m_f} (\bar{\psi}_f \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \psi_f) \partial_\mu a, \quad (1)$$

with dimensionless coupling constant

$$g_{af} = \frac{c_f m_f}{v_a}, \quad (2)$$

and where m_f is the fermion mass, c_f is a numerical coefficient that depends on the specific model,⁴ and $\gamma^5 = -i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3$ is the usual Dirac matrix. It can be shown (see, e.g., Ref. 4) that to first order in a/v_a , the Lagrangian (1) is equivalent to the pseudoscalar interaction Lagrangian

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{af} = -ig_{af} (\bar{\psi}_f \gamma^5 \psi_f) a. \quad (3)$$

In view of the smallness of g_{af} ($\sim 1/v_a$), axion effects may well be most noticeable under astrophysical conditions—high matter densities, high temperature, and strong external magnetic fields (in neutron stars). Raffelt⁴ has surveyed various axion production processes and astrophysical methods of placing bounds on axion model parameters. Thus far, an analysis of the bremsstrahlung emission of axions in electron scattering [due to the interaction (3)] by nuclei ($e + (Z,A) \rightarrow (Z,A) + e + a$) in red giants and white dwarfs has yielded⁵

$$g_{ae} < 2 \cdot 10^{-13} \quad (4)$$

as a bound on the axion–electron coupling constant. Note that the bound quoted by Raffelt⁴ is weaker: $g_{ae} \lesssim 3 \cdot 10^{-13}$.

In this paper, we examine the emission of axions by relativistic electrons in a magnetic field ($e \rightarrow e + a$), which

by a natural analogy with the corresponding electromagnetic process ($e \rightarrow e + \gamma$)⁶ we call axion synchrotron emission (ASE). We calculate the contribution of ASE to the axion luminosity Q_a of a highly degenerate magnetized electron gas under neutron star conditions.⁷ By comparing Q_a with the neutrino luminosity Q_ν , stemming from neutrino synchrotron emission (NSE: $e \rightarrow e + \nu + \bar{\nu}$) in neutron stars as calculated by Kaminker et al.,⁸ we place a new bound on g_{ae} .

2. The amplitude for ASE follows directly from the Lagrangian (3):

$$S_{fi} = \frac{g_{ae}}{\sqrt{2\omega V}} \int d^4x \bar{\psi}_{n'}(x) \gamma^5 \psi_n(x) e^{ikx}, \quad (5)$$

where $k^\mu = (\omega, \mathbf{k})$ is the four-momentum of the emitted axion, which can be assumed massless ($k^2=0$) under the adopted high-energy conditions (see below); ψ_n and $\psi_{n'}$ are the exact initial and final electron wave functions in the constant magnetic field $\mathbf{H} \parallel \hat{z}$ (which can be found in explicit form in Ref. 6); V is the normalization volume. Making use of (5), we can find the ASE probability in exactly the same way as for conventional synchrotron emission.⁶ We then apply the result to the present case, with large initial and final transverse (relative to \mathbf{H}) electron momenta ($p_\perp \gg m$, $p'_\perp \gg m$) and magnetic fields $H \ll H_0 = m^2/e = 4.41 \cdot 10^{13}$ G, for which the initial and final (printed) electron states are semiclassical (quantization of the transverse motion can be neglected):

$$\frac{dw}{du} = \frac{g_{ae}^2}{8\pi^{3/2}} \frac{m^2}{\varepsilon} \frac{u^2}{(1+u)^3} \frac{1}{x} [-\Phi'(x)]. \quad (6)$$

This expression yields the spectral probability distribution for the process in terms of the invariant

$$u = \frac{\chi}{\chi'} - 1 = \frac{p_\perp}{p'_\perp} - 1, \quad (7)$$

where

$$\chi = \frac{e}{m^3} [-(F_{\mu\nu} p^\nu)^2]^{1/2} = \frac{p_\perp}{m} \frac{H}{H_0}, \quad (8)$$

$F_{\mu\nu}$ is the external magnetic field tensor, $p^\mu = (\varepsilon, \mathbf{p})$ is the electron four-momentum (the transverse component of the momentum p_\perp , the longitudinal component p_z , and the energy $\varepsilon = \sqrt{(m^2 + p_\perp^2 + p_z^2)}$) are all conserved in the magnetic

field), χ is obtained from (8) via the substitution $p \rightarrow p'$ and $\Phi'(x) = d\Phi(x)/dx$ is the derivative of the Airy function (cf. Ref. 9, for example):

$$\Phi(x) = \pi^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty dt \cos(xt + t^3/3) \quad (9)$$

with argument

$$x = (u/\chi)^{2/3}, \quad (10)$$

and $0 \leq u \leq \infty$ (to relativistic accuracy: $p_\perp/m \gg 1$). The invariant conditions for the semiclassical Eqs. (6) to apply are^{10,11}

$$\chi \gg f, \quad f = \frac{e}{m^2} \left| \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right|^{1/2} = \frac{H}{H_0} \ll 1. \quad (11)$$

The longitudinal momentum p_z is then arbitrary (see Ref. 11, p. 49), a fact that we make use of in Eq. (19). Note that (6) can be derived from Eq. (3) of Ref. 12 for the muon decay probability ($\mu \rightarrow e + \varphi$) in a magnetic field, where φ (the familon) has mixed scalar-pseudoscalar coupling to fermions. All that is necessary in the indicated equation is to retain only the pseudoscalar contribution, put $m_\mu = m_e$, and average (sum) over spin states of the initial (final) lepton. The final result is then the same as (6).

3. We now calculate the luminosity Q_a —the rate of energy loss per unit volume of the electron gas due to ASI. Using the probability (6), we have

$$Q_a = \int \frac{2d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^\infty du \frac{dw}{du} \omega n_F(\varepsilon) [1 - n_F(\varepsilon')], \quad (12)$$

where over a substantial angular range, the energy of the emitted axion is¹¹

$$\omega = \frac{u\varepsilon}{1+u}, \quad (13)$$

$$n_F(\varepsilon) = \left[\exp\left(\frac{\varepsilon - \mu}{T}\right) + 1 \right]^{-1} \quad (14)$$

is the Fermi–Dirac function for the initial electron distribution at temperature T , and μ is the chemical potential; $n_F(\varepsilon')$ is the same function for the final electrons, with $\varepsilon' = \varepsilon - \omega$.

We now consider a highly degenerate relativistic gas, with

$$T \ll \varepsilon_F = \sqrt{m^2 + p_F^2} = p_F = \sqrt[3]{3\pi^2 n_e} \gg m, \quad (15)$$

where n_e is the electron number density. Equation (15) is a valid expression for the Fermi momentum when

$$p_F \gg \omega_F = eH/\varepsilon_F, \quad (16)$$

whereupon many Landau levels are occupied, and we can neglect the magnetic field strength dependence of the Fermi energy ε_F (see, e.g., Ref. 13). We assume that the magnetic field is nonquantizing,

$$\omega_F \ll T, \quad (17)$$

i.e., the electron's "transverse" energy spectrum is essentially continuous (in general, the transverse momentum is quantized:⁶ $p_\perp^2 = 2eHn$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). In (12), therefore, we

can use the semiclassical Eq. (6) for the probability dw , and summation over the initial and final electron states can be replaced by an integration over phase space.

Subsequent calculations follow those in Ref. 8 for the neutrino luminosity resulting from neutrino synchrotron emission ($e \rightarrow e\nu\bar{\nu}$). When (15)–(17) hold, the Fermi factor $n_F(\varepsilon)[1 - n_F(\varepsilon')]$ in (12) has a sharp maximum at $p \approx p_F$, and the principal contribution to the integral over p comes from the narrow interval $|p - p_F| \leq T \ll p_F$. We can therefore put $p = p_F$ everywhere in the integrand of Eq. (12) except the argument of n_F . The resulting integral can easily be evaluated after we make the substitution $p \rightarrow z = (\varepsilon - \mu)/T \approx (p - p_F)/T$:

$$\int_0^\infty dp n_F(\varepsilon) [1 - n_F(\varepsilon')] \approx \omega [\exp(\omega/T) - 1]^{-1}. \quad (18)$$

Here we take $\mu \approx \varepsilon_F \approx p_F$, and the limits of integration over z can be set to infinity by virtue of the fact that $p_F/T \gg 1$ [see (15)]. In (18), the axion energy (13) can be set to $\omega = up_F$, since $|\varepsilon' - \varepsilon_F| \leq T$ in the most important range, and $u \ll 1$. Gathering all of this together, including (18) and (16), we have for the luminosity (12)

$$Q_a = \frac{g_{ae}^2 m^5}{(4\pi)^2 \pi^{3/2}} \gamma_F^3 \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin \theta \int_0^\infty du u^4 \left[\exp \frac{up_{F-1}}{T} \times \left(-\frac{1}{x} \Phi'(x) \right) \right]. \quad (19)$$

Here, x is given by (10), where the parameter χ of (8) is

$$\chi = \chi_F \sin \theta, \quad \chi_F = \gamma_F H/H_0, \quad (20)$$

in which $\gamma_F = p_F/m$ and θ is the angle between \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{H} .

The case of most interest is

$$T \ll T_c = \gamma_F^3 \omega_F. \quad (21)$$

This inequality (21) is satisfied over a wide range of neutron star parameters n_e and T .⁸ Note that to order of magnitude, T_c equals (in the adopted system of units) the frequency at which the synchrotron spectrum peaks for an individual electron with energy $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_F \approx p_F$ (see Ref. 6).

Drawing upon (15) and (21), we can simplify the integral in (19) considerably. The main contribution to the integral comes from $u \leq T/p_F \ll 1$ and $\sin \theta \sim 1$, and the argument of the Airy function is

$$x \leq \left(\frac{T}{p_F \chi_F} \right)^{2/3} = \left(\frac{T}{T_c} \right)^{2/3} \ll 1.$$

Hence,

$$\Phi'(x) \approx \Phi'(0) = -3^{1/6} \Gamma(2/3) / (2\sqrt{\pi}). \quad (22)$$

Substituting (22) and (20) into (19) and letting $u = (T/p_F)y$, we obtain

$$Q_a = \frac{3^{1/6} \Gamma(2/3)}{2^5 \pi^4} g_{ae}^2 m^5 \gamma_F^3 \chi_F^{2/3} \left(\frac{T}{p_F} \right)^{13/3} \times \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin^{5/3} \theta \int_0^\infty dy y^{10/3} (e^y - 1)^{-1}.$$

Plugging in the expressions for the remaining tabulated integrals,¹⁴ we finally have

$$Q_a = c_a g_{ae}^2 m^5 \gamma_F^{-2/3} \left(\frac{T}{m}\right)^{13/3} \left(\frac{H}{H_0}\right)^{2/3},$$

$$c_a = \frac{7}{2} \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{1/6} \frac{\Gamma^3(1/3)}{(3\pi)^4} \zeta\left(\frac{13}{3}\right) \approx 8.67 \cdot 10^{-3}. \quad (23)$$

4. For real applications, it is convenient to cast (23) in "astrophysical" form:

$$Q_a = 1.59 \cdot 10^{40} g_{ae}^2 \gamma_F^{-2/3} T_9^{13/3} H_{13}^{2/3} \text{ erg/cm}^{-3} \cdot \text{s}, \quad (24)$$

where $T_9 = T/10^9$ K and $H_{13} = H/10^{13}$ H.

We now compare (24) with the neutrino luminosity Q_ν , derived from neutrino synchrotron emission that was obtained in Ref. 8 under the same conditions:

$$Q_\nu = 8.97 \cdot 10^{14} T_9^5 H_{13}^2 \text{ erg/cm}^{-3} \cdot \text{s}, \quad (25)$$

If we require that $Q_a < Q_\nu$, (24) and (25) yield

$$g_{ae} < 2.38 \cdot 10^{-13} \gamma_F^{1/3} T_9^{1/3} H_{13}^{2/3}. \quad (26)$$

We now apply (26) to the shell of a neutron star,⁸ which has $n_e \sim 10^{30} - 10^{37} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $T \sim 10^8 - 10^{10}$ K, and $H \sim 10^{12} - 10^{14}$ G. We put $n_e = 10^{33} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $T = 10^8$ K, and $H = 10^{12}$ G. Then $\gamma_F = 11.9$, $p_F = 7.1 \cdot 10^{10}$ K, $\omega_F = eH/p_F = 1.1 \cdot 10^7$ K, and $T_c = \gamma_F^3 \omega_F = 1.9 \cdot 10^{10}$ K. Under these conditions, (15)–(17) and (21) are satisfied. Moreover, we know from astrophysics that the axion mass is extremely small:³ $10^{-5} \text{ eV} \lesssim m_a \lesssim 10 \text{ eV}$, and since $\omega \sim T \gg m_a$ [see (13) and (18)], we are justified in adopting a massless axion. As a result, (26) yields an upper bound on the coupling constant:

$$g_{ae} < 5.4 \cdot 10^{-14}. \quad (27)$$

This is a much more stringent bound [see Eq. (4)] than the one found under red giant and white dwarf conditions.⁵

Taking $f=e$ and $c_e \sim 1$ in (2), (27) places a lower bound on the corresponding symmetry-breaking scale:

$$v_a \gtrsim 10^{10} \text{ GeV}, \quad (28)$$

which is consistent with recent observations of SN 1987A.³

Thus, under neutron star conditions with $g_{ae} \gtrsim 5 \cdot 10^{-14}$, axion synchrotron energy losses ($e \rightarrow ea$) are comparable to neutrino synchrotron losses ($e \rightarrow e\nu\bar{\nu}$), which have been shown⁸ to be competitive with other neutrino loss mechanisms. In closing, we note that by multiplying the right-hand side of (27) by $1/\sqrt{10}$, we can estimate the value of the coupling constant at which the axion luminosity is at least an order of magnitude less than the neutrino luminosity: $g_{ae} < 1.7 \cdot 10^{-14}$.

The authors thank V. Ch. Zhukovskii and P. A. Eminov for useful discussions of these results, and O. F. Dorofeev, who supported this work with a special grant under the auspices of the Soros International Science Foundation.

¹R. D. Peccei and H. R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38**, 1440 (1977).

²S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **40**, 223 (1978); F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **40**, 279 (1978).

³Particle Data Group: K. Hikasa *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D**45**, No. 11 (part II), V17 (1992).

⁴G. G. Raffelt, Phys. Rep. **198**, 1 (1990).

⁵T. Altherr, E. Petitgirard, and T. del Rio Gaztelurrutia, Preprint TH 7044 (CERN) (1993).

⁶A. A. Sokolov and I. M. Ternov, *The Relativistic Electron* [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1983).

⁷V. M. Lipunov, *Neutron Star Astrophysics* [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1987).

⁸A. D. Kaminker, K. P. Levenfish, and D. G. Yakovlev, Pis'ma Astron. Zh. **17**, 1090 (1991) [Sov. Astron. Lett. **17**, 450 (1991)].

⁹L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics (Nonrelativistic Theory)*, 3rd ed., Pergamon, New York (1977).

¹⁰A. I. Nikishov and V. I. Ritus, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **46**, 776 (1964) [Sov. Phys. JETP **19**, 529 (1964)]; Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **46**, 1768 (1964) [Sov. Phys. JETP **19**, 1191 (1964)].

¹¹I. M. Ternov, V. Ch. Zhukovskii, and A. V. Borisov, *Quantum Processes in a Strong External Field* [in Russian], Univ. of Moscow Press, Moscow (1989), p. 33.

¹²A. V. Averin and A. V. Borisov, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved., Fiz., No. 5, 64 (1989).

¹³Yu. B. Rumer and M. Sh. Rytvin, *Thermodynamics, Statistical Physics, and Kinetics* [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1977).

¹⁴A. P. Prudnikov, Yu. A. Brychkov, and O. I. Marichev, *Integrals and Series, Elementary Functions* [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1981).

Translated by Marc Damashek