Diamagnetic susceptibility of indirect excitons in deformed germanium

T. G. Tratas and V. M. Édel'shteĭn

Institute of Solid State Physics, USSR Academy of Sciences (Submitted 29 January 1981) Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 81, 696–699 (August 1981)

The diamagnetic susceptibility of indirect excitons in Ge uniaxially compressed along the axis [100] or [111] is calculated. It is shown that despite the large anisotropy of the effective masses, the main contribution to the susceptibility comes from the Hamiltonian term that is quadratic in the external magnetic field (the Langevin term).

PACS numbers: 75.20.En, 75.30.Cr

1. INTRODUCTION

The Hamiltonian that describes the relative motion of an electron with an effective-mass tensor m_{ik}^e and of a hole with m_{ik}^h , which form an exciton with zero gravitycenter momentum, is of the form¹

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{0} + \mathcal{H}_{1} + \mathcal{H}_{2}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{0} = \frac{1}{2} \hat{\mathbf{p}} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{p}} - e^{2} / \varepsilon_{0} r,$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{1} = \frac{e}{c} [\mathbf{A}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{r}) m_{e}^{-1} - \mathbf{A}^{h}(\mathbf{r}) m_{h}^{-1}] \hat{\mathbf{p}}, \qquad (1)$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{2} = \frac{e^{2}}{2a^{2}} [\mathbf{A}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{m}_{e}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\epsilon}(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{A}^{h}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{m}_{h}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{h}(\mathbf{r})] + \frac{3e^{2}}{2a^{2}} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{M}^{-1} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}),$$

where

ð

 $A^{e,h} = \frac{1}{2} [H, (\mu m_{e,h}^{-1} r)], A = A^e + A^h, \mu^{-1} = m_e^{-1} + m_h^{-1}, M = m_e + m_h$

and it is assumed that the products of the coordinates and momenta have been symmetrized in \mathcal{H}_1 . It is seen that an energy correction quadratic in the magnetic field results not only from \mathcal{H}_2 in first-order perturbation theory (the Langevin diamagnetism), but also from \mathcal{H}_1 in second order (the van Vleck orbital paramagnetism). In the case of isotropic masses, \mathcal{H}_1 goes over into²

$$\frac{e\hbar}{2\mu c}\frac{m_h-m_e}{m_h+m_e}\mathrm{H}\hat{\mathrm{L}}.$$

The relative-motion angular momentum \hat{L} is conserved, and L=0 in the ground state. There is therefore no orbital paramagnetism in the isotropic limits.

In uniaxially deformed germanium, the degeneracy of the hole band is lifted, the spin motion is separated with good accuracy from the orbital motion, and the latter is described by Hamiltonian (1).

2. COMPRESSION ALONG THE [001] AXIS

For the sake of argument, we consider an electron valley located along the [111] axis of the crystal. We choose an orthogonal basis $e_1^0 e_2^0 e_3^0$ such that $e_3^0 \parallel [001]$, and the plane $e_2^0 e_3^0$ passes through the [111] axis. In this basis³

$$m_{h1}^{0} = m_{h2}^{0} = 0.1095 m_{0}, \quad m_{h3}^{0} = 0.0457 m_{0}.$$
 (2)

The electron-mass matrix is diagonal in the basis $(e'_1e'_2e'_3)$, which is obtained by rotation around the e^0_1 axis through an angle $\varphi = \tan^{-1}2^{1/2}$, and is equal to³

$$m_{e1}' = m_{e2}' = 0.0815 m_0, \quad m_{e3}' = 1.588 m_0.$$
 (3)

It is convenient to carry out the calculations in the basis $(e_1e_2e_3)$ that diagonalizes the reduced-mass matrix μ .

It is obtained by rotating $(e_1^{\circ}e_2^{\circ}e_3^{\circ})$ around e_1° through an angle $\alpha \approx \tan^{-1} 3.33$, in which case

$$\mu_1 = 0.047m_0, \quad \mu_2 = 0.031m_0, \quad \mu_3 = 0.083m_0. \tag{4}$$

The ground state of the exciton can be obtained by a variational method. Measuring the length in units of $a_B = \hbar^2 \varepsilon_0 / \mu_3 e^2$ and of energy $R = \mu_3 e^4 / 2\hbar^2 \varepsilon_3^2$, and using the wave function

$$\psi_0 = (\pi a b c)^{-1/2} \exp \{-[x^2/a^2 + y^2/b^2 + z^2/c^2]^{1/2}\},\$$

we obtain

$$a=1.74, b=2.02, c=1.42, E_0=-0.58.$$
 (5)

In absolute units $aa_{B} = 170$ Å, $ba_{B} = 198$ Å, $ca_{B} = 139$ Å, and $RE_{0} = -2.78 \times 10^{-3}$ eV. We reduce all the matrices in (2) to the basis (e₁e₂e₃), substitute in \mathcal{H}_{2} and, using the numerical values in (2), (3), and (4), obtain

$$\delta E_{L} = (\mathscr{H}_{2})_{00} = (e^{2}a_{B}^{2}/2m_{0}c^{2}) (25H_{x}^{2} + 17H_{y}^{2} - 8H_{y}H_{z} + 41H_{z}^{2}).$$
(6)

If $|n^*\rangle$ is the first excited state of the exciton at which $(\mathcal{H}_1)_{o_n^*} = 0$, then the van Vleck correction satisfies the inequality

$$|\delta E_{vv}| = \sum_{n} |(\mathcal{H}_{i})_{\circ n}|^{2} / E_{n} - E_{\circ} \leq (\mathcal{H}_{i}^{2})_{\circ o} / E_{n} - E_{o}.$$
⁽⁷⁾

To estimate $E_n *$ we note that the first excited states corresponding to the 2p-hydrogen states, are of the form

$$(c_1x+c_2y+c_3z)\psi(x^2, y^2, z^2)$$

and cause $(\mathscr{H}_1)_{o_n}$ to vanish. It seems plausible that in higher states, e.g., 3d, the quantity $E_n * - E_0$ is equal to $|E_0|$ with sufficient accuracy. Indeed, in the hydrogenlike case $E_{3d} - E_0 \approx 0.9$ $|E_0|$, and in our case the anisotropy of μ in (4) is of the order of unity. It is possible to refine the value of $E_n *$, e.g., by a variational method, but there is no need for it since $\delta E_{\gamma\gamma}$ is of the order of several percent of δE_L :

$$|\delta E_{vv}| \leq (2\hbar^2 \varepsilon_0^2 / m_0^2 c^2 | E_0 | \mu_3 e^2) (4H_x^2 + 0.7H_y^2 - 0.2H_y H_z + 0.3H_z^2).$$
 (8)

We write down now the diamagnetic-susceptibility tensor in the standard coordinate system of the crystal, i.e., $X \parallel (100)$, $Y \parallel (010)$, and $Z \parallel (001)$

$$\chi_{ik}^{L} \left[\frac{\text{erg}}{\text{G}_{*}^{2}} \right] = -10^{-24} \begin{pmatrix} 8.3 & 1.6 & 1.9 \\ 1.6 & 8.3 & 1.9 \\ 1.9 & 1.9 & 5.7 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(9)

The diamagnetic correction to the energy is given by

$$\delta E_{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \chi_{ik}^{L} H_{i} H_{k}, \quad i, k = 1, 2, 3,$$

where $H = (H_x H_y H_x)$ for an electron valley directed along the [111] axis, $H = (H_y, -H_x H_x)$ for the valley along [-1, 1,1], $H = (-H_y, H_x, H_x)$ for the valley along [1,-1,1], and $H = (-H_x, -H_y, H_x)$ for the valley along [-1,-1,1]. The magnetic-field components H_x , H_y , and H_x are defined here in terms of the standard crystallographic coordinate system.

3. COMPRESSION ALONG THE [111] AXIS

It is known that compression decreases the energy of the electron valley located on the compression axis. We confine ourselves to this valley, i.e., to a "cold" exciton. In this case the [111] axis is the axial-symmetry axis and all the results can be clearly represented in analytic form. In a basis with \mathbf{e}_3 axis along [111] we have $m_{h1} = 0.13m_0$ and $m_{h\parallel} = 0.04m_0$ (Ref. 3), so that $\mu_1 = 0.05m_0$ and $\mu_{\parallel} = 0.04m_0$. We express the length and energy in units $a_{B1} = \hbar \varepsilon_0 / \mu_1 e^2$ and R_1 $= \mu_1 e^4 / 2\hbar^2 \varepsilon_0^2$ respectively, and choose the trial wave function of the ground state in the form

 $\psi_0 = (\pi a_{\perp}^2 a_{\parallel})^{-1/2} \exp\{-[(x^2 + y^2)/a_{\perp}^2 + z^2/a_{\parallel}^2]^{1/2}\}.$

The energy corresponding to such a wave function was obtained in Ref. 4 and at the given values of $\mu_{1,\parallel}$ we obtain $a_1 = 1.06$, $a_{\parallel} = 1.18$, and $E_0 = -0.91$. In absolute units $a_1a_{B1} = 172$ Å, $a_{\parallel}a_{B1} = 191$ Å, and $R_1E_0 = -2.62 \times 10^{-3}$ eV. In analogy with Sec. 2, it can be shown that \mathscr{H}_2 leads to a diamagnetic susceptibility

$$\chi_{\perp}^{L} = -\frac{e^{2}}{4c^{2}}a_{B\perp}^{2}\left\{a_{\parallel}^{2}\left[\frac{3}{M_{\perp}} + \frac{1}{M_{\parallel}^{2}}\left(\frac{m_{h\parallel}^{2}}{m_{e\perp}} + \frac{m_{e\parallel}^{2}}{m_{h\perp}}\right)\right] + (\perp \leftrightarrow \parallel)\right\},\\\chi_{\parallel}^{L} = -e^{2}a_{B\perp}^{2}a_{\perp}^{2}/2\mu_{\perp}c^{2}.$$
(10)

The Hamiltonian \mathscr{H}_1 leads only to a contribution to χ_1 . Arguments similar to the preceding ones lead to the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi_{\perp}^{\nu\nu}| &\leq \frac{e^{2}}{c^{2}} \,\mu_{\parallel} \mu_{\perp} \left(m_{e_{\parallel}}^{-1} \,m_{e_{\perp}}^{-1} - m_{h_{\parallel}}^{-1} \,m_{h_{\perp}}^{-1} \right)^{2} \\ &\times \frac{\hbar^{2}}{5R_{\perp} |E_{o}|} \left[\frac{\mu_{\parallel}}{\mu_{\perp}} \left(\frac{a_{\parallel}}{a_{\perp}} \right)^{2} + \frac{\mu_{\perp}}{\mu_{\parallel}} \left(\frac{a_{\perp}}{a_{\parallel}} \right)^{2} - 2 \right], \end{aligned}$$
(11)

which amounts in this case to less than 2% of χ_1^L . The numerical values are $\chi_1^L = -7.3 \times 10^{-24}$ and $\chi_{\parallel}^L = -8.3 \times 10^{-24}$ erg/G².

4. CONCLUSION

In both considered cases the contribution made to the susceptibility by \mathscr{H}_1 turned out to be numerically very small, and the anisotropy of χ^L substantial. The first circumstance is due principally to the small anisotropy of μ (for strongly anisotropic m_e and m_{χ}), and also to the appearance, in the estimate of $\chi^{\nu\nu}$, of small numbers, e.g., when averaging on a hydrogen ground-state function we have $(\chi^2 \nabla_e^2)_{00} = 0.2$. The second circumstance is due to the fact that the electrons and holes contribute to the Langevin susceptibility for the most part independently, and their contributions are determined separately by their masses.

The authors thank I.V. Kukushkin. V.D. Kulyakovskiĭ, and V.B. Timofeev whose experiments with Ge stimulated the writing of this paper, and L.G. Fedyaeva for the computer calculations.

¹É. I. Rashba and V. M. Édel'shtein, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 58, 1428 (1970) [Sov. Phys. JETP 31, 765 (1970)].
 ²W. E. Lamb, Phys. Rev. 85, 259 (1952).
 ³J. C. Hensel and K. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 22, 838 (1969).
 ⁴W. Kohn and J. M. Luttinger, Phys. Rev. 98, 915 (1955).

Translated by J. G. Adashko