

ON THE ADIABATIC APPROXIMATION FOR THE DENSITY MATRIX OF AN ISOLATED SPIN SYSTEM

A. A. SAMOKHIN

Submitted to JETP editor April 13, 1966

J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 51, 928-930 (September, 1966)

We obtain an expression for the adiabatic response of a paramagnetic spin-system without using the quasi-equilibrium form of the density matrix.

THE behavior of an isolated spin-system with a Hamiltonian containing a dipole-dipole and a Zeeman energy, $\hat{\mathcal{H}}(t) = \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0 - \hat{M}h(t)$, in a variable magnetic field $h(t)$ is in the adiabatic approximation determined by a density matrix of the form

$$\rho(t) = \exp[-\alpha(t)\hat{\mathcal{H}}(t)] / \text{Sp} \exp[-\alpha\hat{\mathcal{H}}], \quad (1)^*$$

where the time dependence of $\alpha(t)$ can be found from the equation

$$\text{Sp} \hat{\mathcal{H}} \partial \rho / \partial t = 0. \quad (2)$$

In the high-temperature approximation $\rho(t) \approx [I - \alpha(t)\hat{\mathcal{H}}(t) / \text{Tr} I]$, and it follows from (1) and (2) that we have for the magnetic moment

$$\text{Sp} \hat{M} \rho(t) = \chi_0 h(t) [1 + h^2(t) / H^2]^{-1/2}, \quad (3)$$

where $\chi_0 = \alpha_0 \text{Tr} \hat{M}^2 / \text{Tr} I$, $H^2 = \text{Tr} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0^2 / \text{Tr} \hat{M}^2$ and where we have assumed for the sake of simplicity that the operator \hat{M} (we denote here by \hat{M} the z-component of the magnetic moment) has no diagonal part in the representation which diagonalizes the unperturbed Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_0$.

In the present paper we consider the adiabatic approximation in the framework of non-stationary perturbation theory for the density matrix of an isolated system. Such a procedure enables us to obtain an expression for the magnetic moment (3) directly from the quantum-mechanical Liouville equation without assuming beforehand the form of the density matrix (1): The following relation for the diagonal parts \hat{A}_0 and \hat{B}_0 of macroscopic operators \hat{A} and \hat{B} will then play an essential part:

$$\text{Sp} \hat{A}_0 \hat{B}_0 = \text{Sp} (\hat{A} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0) \text{Sp} (\hat{B} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0) / \text{Sp} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0^2, \quad (4)$$

which expresses the equality of the so-called isolated and adiabatic susceptibilities.^[1]

Considering $-\hat{M}h(t)$ as a perturbation, solving the Liouville equation by iteration $\rho(t) = \rho^{(0)} + \dots \rho^{(n)} + \dots$ with the initial condition $\rho(0) = \rho^{(0)} = [I - \alpha_0 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0] / \text{Tr} I$ and $h(0) = 0$, we get for $M^{(n)}$

$$M^{(n)} = \text{Sp} \hat{M} \rho^{(n)} = \frac{\alpha_0 (-1)^n}{\text{Sp} I} \int_0^t dt_1 \dots \times \int_0^{t_{n-1}} dt_n h(t_1) \dots h(t_{n-1}) \frac{dh(t_n)}{dt_n} \times \text{Sp} \{ \hat{F}^{(n-1)}(t, t_1 \dots t_n) \hat{M}(t_n) \},$$

$$\hat{F}^{(n-1)} = [\dots [\hat{M}(t), \hat{M}(t_1)] \dots \hat{M}(t_{n-1})] (i\hbar)^{1-n}, \times d\hat{M}(t)/dt = [\hat{M}, \hat{\mathcal{H}}_0] / i\hbar. \quad (5)$$

Writing $\text{Tr} \hat{F}^{(n-1)} \hat{M}(t_n)$ as a sum of traces of products of diagonal and non-diagonal operators,

$$i\hbar \text{Sp} \hat{F}^{(n-1)} \hat{M}(t_n) = \text{Sp} \{ \hat{F}^{(n-2)} [\hat{M}(t_{n-1}), \hat{M}(t_n)]_0 \} + \text{Sp} \{ \hat{F}^{(n-2)} [\hat{M}(t_{n-1}), \hat{M}(t_n)]_I \}, \quad (6)$$

we note that the second term contains at least a ternary correlation function^[2] and can thus be dropped in the adiabatic approximation. Using (4) we then get from (6)

$$\text{Sp} \hat{F}^{(n-1)} \hat{M}(t_n) \approx \frac{1}{H^2} \text{Sp} \left\{ \hat{F}^{(n-3)} \frac{d\hat{M}(t_{n-2})}{dt_{n-2}} \right\} \frac{d}{dt_n} \varphi(t_n - t_{n-1}); \varphi(t_n - t_{n-1}) = \text{Sp} \hat{M}(t_n) \hat{M}(t_{n-1}) / \text{Sp} \hat{M}^2 \quad (7)$$

and integration by parts gives for $M^{(n)}$

$$M^{(n)} \approx \frac{\alpha_0 (-1)^{n-1}}{\text{Sp} I} \frac{3}{2H^2} \int_0^t dt_1 \dots \times \int_0^{t_{n-3}} dt_{n-2} h(t_1) \dots h^2(t_{n-2}) \frac{dh(t_{n-2})}{dt_{n-2}} \times \text{Sp} \{ \hat{F}^{(n-3)} \hat{M}(t_{n-2}) \}. \quad (8)$$

*Sp \equiv Tr.

We dropped in (8) a term containing the expression

$$\varphi(t_{n-1}) \frac{dh}{dt} \Big|_0 + \int_0^{t_{n-1}} dt_n \frac{d^2h(t_n)}{dt_n^2} \varphi(t_n - t_{n-1}),$$

which also gives no contribution to $M^{(n)}$ in the adiabatic approximation. Continuing this process we have finally for $M_{ad}^{(n)}$

$$M_{ad}^{(n)} = \chi_0 h(t) (-1)^{k+1} \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \dots (2k+1)}{2^{k+1} (k+1)!} \left(\frac{h^2(t)}{H^2} \right)^{k+1},$$

$$n = 2k + 3, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (9)$$

which corresponds to (3).

The adiabatic approximation is valid for sufficiently low frequencies of the variable field $\omega t < 1$ and for not too large times, $t < H^2/h^2\omega^2\tau$ for systems such that statistical equilibrium can be established by means of internal interactions. The relaxation time in such a system is determined by the following equation

$$\tau = \int_{-\infty}^0 dt \varphi(t).$$

The terms omitted when we derived the adiabatic response contain correlation functions of different order and different powers in ω and t . They characterize the deviation of the system from internal equilibrium with respect to the instantaneous value of the total Hamiltonian $\hat{\mathcal{H}}(t)$. Taking these terms into account enables us to find an expression for the non-adiabatic response of an isolated system.

The author expresses his gratitude to B. N. Provotorov for the attention given to the publication of the present paper.

¹J. A. Tjon, *Physica* **30**, 1341 (1964).

²A. A. Samokhin, *Physica* **32**, 823 (1966).

Translated by D. ter Haar