## ON THE POSSIBILITY OF HARD VAVILOV-CERENKOV RADIATION

## V. V. BATYGIN

M. I. Kalinin Polytechnic Institute, Leningrad

Submitted to JETP editor July 3, 1964

J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 48, 272-274 (January, 1965)

It is shown that hard photons can occur in a two-quantum Vavilov-Cerenkov effect. The emission of hard photons can be either spontaneous or induced, i.e. due to soft photons. The spectrum and the total intensity of the induced emission are determined.

THE emission of hard photons can not occur in a one-quantum Vavilov-Cerenkov (V.-C.) effect. However, from the law of conservation of energy and momentum it is clear that a hard photon with 4-momentum (**k**, ik) and with index of refraction equal to unity can be emitted in a two-quantum V.-C. effect, accompanied by a soft photon (**q**, i $\omega$ ) [|**q**| =  $\omega$ n( $\omega$ )], with index of refraction n( $\omega$ ) > 1, (we using a system of units in which  $\hbar = c = 1$ ), provided that the radiating charged particle is ultrarelativistic. In fact, with  $\epsilon_1 \gg m \gg \omega$ , and at small  $\vartheta$ , the energy of the hard photon is given by

$$k = 2\omega\gamma(\omega,\theta) \left[ \left(\frac{m}{\epsilon_1}\right)^2 + 2\frac{\omega}{\epsilon_1}\gamma(\omega,\theta) + \vartheta^2 \right]^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\epsilon_1$  and m are the initial energy and mass of the particle,  $\vartheta$  and  $\theta$  are the angles of emission of the hard and soft photons relative to the initial momentum of the particle, and  $\gamma(\omega, \theta)$ = n( $\omega$ ) cos  $\theta$  - 1. From (1) it is clear that the photons k are mainly hard when emitted inside the narrow cone

$$\vartheta \leq [(m / \varepsilon_1)^2 + 2(\omega / \varepsilon_1)\gamma(\omega, \theta)]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The maximum value  $k = k_m (\omega, \Theta)$  is attained at  $\vartheta = 0$ :

$$k_m(\omega, \theta) = \frac{\varepsilon_1^2}{\varepsilon_0(\omega, \theta) + \varepsilon_1}, \quad \varepsilon_0(\omega, \theta) = \frac{m^2}{2\omega\gamma(\omega, \theta)}. \quad (2)$$

In the case of an electron,  $\epsilon_0 \sim 10^{10}$  to  $10^{11}$  ev for soft optical photons and  $\epsilon_0 \sim 10^{15}$  to  $10^{16}$  ev for photons in the centimeter wavelength band (with  $\Theta = 0$ ). From (2) it is clear that when  $\epsilon_1$  $\gtrsim \epsilon_0$  the maximum energy of the hard photons approaches  $\epsilon_1$ . The radiation condition k > 0 is satisfied when  $\cos \Theta > 1/n(\omega)$  and the angle  $\Theta$ is inside the Cerenkov cone.

Calculations have shown that spontaneous emission of hard quanta in a two-quantum V.-C. effect has low intensity and is heavily masked by

bremsstrahlung. We will not consider it here but remark only that it apparently can be made observable by the use of coincidence techniques. The intensity of hard V.-C. radiation can be markedly enhanced by passing soft radiation of sufficiently high energy density through the medium, since the probability  $d\omega_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}^0}$  of induced twoquantum emission (which has been calculated by Tsytovich [1]) is proportional to the number  $N_0$ of soft photons per unit volume. We will consider soft photons which are transverse, unpolarized, and all having the same momentum  $q_0(q_0, \Theta_0)$ . In this case, according to (1), the angle of emission of the hard photons is uniquely related to their energy. For the spectrum of the energy lost by the particle to Cerenkov emission of hard quanta we obtain after some calculation:

$$\begin{split} \rho\left(k\right) dk &= k dw_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}_{0}} \\ &= \frac{\pi e^{4} N_{0}}{2 \varepsilon_{1}^{2}} \frac{V_{0}}{n_{0} \omega_{0}} k \left\{a_{0} + (1 + a_{0}) \left[1 + \frac{k^{2}}{\varepsilon_{1} \left(\varepsilon_{1} - k\right)}\right] \right. \\ &\left. + \left[1 - 2 \frac{\varepsilon_{0} k}{\varepsilon_{1} \left(\varepsilon_{1} - k\right)}\right]^{2} \right\}, \end{split}$$

. . . . . .

 $\begin{aligned} & e^2 = \frac{1}{137}, \ n_0 = n(\omega_0), \ \omega_0 = q_0 / n_0, \ V_0 = (d\omega / dq)_{\omega = \omega_0}, \\ & \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\omega_0, \theta_0), \ a_0 = \frac{1}{2} (n_0^2 - 1) \gamma_0^{-2} \sin^2 \theta_0. \end{aligned}$ 

(3)

In contrast to the bremsstrahlung spectrum, the spectrum of (3) has a mainly triangular shape with a maximum at the upper limit  $k_m = k_m (\omega_0, \Theta_0)$ . We present also the expression for the total intensity of the induced losses to hard V.-C. radiation for the case  $\epsilon_1 \ll \epsilon_0$ :

$$-\frac{d\varepsilon}{dx} = \int_{0}^{k_{m}} \rho(k) dk = -\frac{4\pi}{3} \frac{e^{4}V_{0}}{n_{0}m^{4}} \left(1 + \frac{3}{2}a_{0}\right) \gamma_{0}^{2} N_{0}\omega_{0}\varepsilon_{1}^{2}.$$
 (4)

With  $\omega_0 = 1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\Theta_0 = 0$ ,  $n_0 = V_0^{-1} = 10$ ,  $N_0 = 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , and  $\epsilon_1 = 4 \times 10^{10} \text{ eV}$  we have  $\epsilon_0 = 0.73 \times 10^{15} \text{ eV}$ ,  $k_m = 2.2 \times 10^6 \text{ eV}$  and  $-d\epsilon/dx$ 

= 3.3 eV/cm. This amounts to about  $10^{-4}$  of the bremsstrahlung losses in the soft part of the spectrum below  $k_m$  (in light elements).

However, V.-C. radiation is due to long-range interactions, in contrast to bremsstrahlung which is associated with near collisions. This lets one hope that the effect considered can be observed experimentally by injecting the particles into the medium through a tunnel with a diameter that is small compared with the wavelength of the soft photon (in which case there will be no bremsstrahlung, whereas the V.-C. radiation will be only slightly altered). We note also that  $-d\epsilon/dx$ increases proportionally to N<sub>0</sub>,  $\omega_0$ , and  $\epsilon_1^2$ . With  $\omega_0$  from the optical spectrum and  $N_0 \sim 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ one can attain values comparable with bremsstrahlung losses (under the condition, which is difficult to fulfill, that the medium must withstand a sufficiently high density of optical radiation).

If the angle  $\Theta_0$  exceeds the limits of the Cerenkov cone,  $\cos \Theta_0 < 1/n$ , then instead of induced emission of hard quanta paired with soft quanta, there will occur radiation of hard quanta produced from soft quanta (which is effectively Compton scattering from the electrons moving in the medium). Formulae (3) and (4) retain the same form also in the case of the absorption of transverse quanta, but in formulae (1) and (2) it is necessary to change  $\omega$  to  $-\omega$ , because  $n(-\omega) = n(\omega)$ . The question of the radiation of hard quanta following the absorption of soft longitudinal quanta has been considered by Ryazanov<sup>[2]</sup> and by Gallitis and Tsytovich<sup>[3]</sup>. Radiation following absorption can occur even with  $n_0 \leq 1$ . The case  $n_0 = 1$  has been considered in a number of works<sup>[4,5]</sup>, and the results obtained there agree with ours.

The author sincerely thanks I. N. Toptygin for a series of discussions and for assistance, A. A. Rumyantsev, O. V. Konstantinov and V. I. Perel' for discussions, and Vit. V. Batygin for help with the calculations.

<sup>1</sup>V. N. Tsytovich, DAN SSSR **154**, 76 (1964), Soviet Phys. Doklady **9**, 49 (1964).

<sup>2</sup>M. I. Ryazanov, JETP 45, 333 (1963), Soviet Phys. JETP 18, 232 (1964).

<sup>3</sup>A. Gaĭlitis and V. N. Tsytovich, JETP 46, 1726 (1964), Soviet Phys. JETP 19, 1165 (1964).

<sup>4</sup> F. R. Arutyunyan and V. A. Tumanyan, JETP 44, 2100 (1963), Soviet Phys. JETP 17, 1412 (1963).

<sup>5</sup> Arutyunyan, Gol'dman, and Tumanyan, JETP 45, 312 (1963), Soviet Phys. JETP 18, 218 (1964).

Translated by A. E. Miller 37