ZEEMAN EFFECT IN THE OPTICAL SPECTRUM OF ANTIFERROMAGNETIC CRYSTALS OF MnF₂

V. V. EREMENKO, Yu. A. POPKOV, and Yu. G. LITVINENKO

Physico-technical Institute for Low Temperatures, Academy of Sciences, Ukrainian S.S.R.

Submitted to JETP editor June 20, 1964

J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 47, 1733-1735 (November, 1964)

The influence of strong magnetic fields (up to 2×10^5 Oe) on the structure of the optical absorption spectrum of antiferromagnetic MnF_2 crystals ($T_N = 68^\circ$ K) was investigated at $T = 20.4^\circ$ K. In the region of the optical transition ${}^6S_{5/2} \rightarrow {}^4D$, frequency shifts and splitting of the bands were observed in magnetic fields exceeding $H_C = \sqrt{2H_AH_E} = 95$ kOe, which is the field for the magnetic sublattice reversal in MnF_2 .

In the present work, we investigated the influence of a magnetic field on the optical spectrum of MnF_2 crystals at 20°K. The measurements were carried out with the magnetic field oriented along the C_4 axis of tetragonal MnF_2 crystals, as well as at right-angles to this axis. Below the temperature $T_N = 68^\circ$ K, manganese fluoride becomes antiferromagnetic with the ordering directed along the C_4 axis.^[2] An estimate of H_E gives a value of 5.5×10^5 Oe, ^[3] while H_c amounts to about 95 kOe, both according to the antiferromagnetic resonance data^[4] and according to the results on the magnetic susceptibility at 20° K.^[5] Since the intensity of the most interesting magnetic fields is quite high, we used the method of investigating the magneto-optical effects in crystals^[6] similar to the Kapitza pulse method^[7]. The pulse nature of the method did not introduce any special features since the duration of application of the field was about 5×10^{-3} sec, and the measurements of the magnetic sublattice reversal in MnF₂, were carried out in fields of much shorter duration.^[5]

The spectra were recorded using a type DFS-13 diffraction spectrograph with a linear dispersion of about 3 Å/mm.

We investigated the strongest, most clearly ob-



FIG. 1 1165

served groups of absorption bands due to the transitions ${}^{6}S_{5/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}G$ and ${}^{6}S_{5/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}D$ in the Mn²⁺ ion and lying, respectively, in the region of 3900 and 3500 Å. [8-11] Figure 1a shows the absorption spectrum of a MnF₂ crystal, 2 mm thick, at 20°K. The spectrum of this crystal has, apart from the intense bands observed earlier for thinner samples, ^[8-11] a group of weak bands both in the ${}^{6}S_{5/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}G$ region and in the $\,^6\!S_{5/2} \rightarrow \,^4\!D$ region. At present there is no way of interpreting with certainty the weak bands but the following should be noted: a) these bands are observed only at low temperatures $T < T_N$; b) there is some similarity between the weak-band positions in the ${}^{6}S \rightarrow {}^{4}G$ and ${}^{6}S \rightarrow {}^{4}D$ regions; in particular, this applies to the doublet $25703/25755 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ($\Delta \nu = 52 \pm 5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) and the doublet $28403/28485 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ $(\Delta \nu = 62 \pm 5 \text{ cm}^{-1})$. These bands may be due to optical transitions with the simultaneous excitation of the magnetic moment oscillations, by analogy with the additional bands observed earlier for other antiferromagnetic crystals.^[11,12] Although the frequency intervals between the bands of the ${}^{6}S_{5/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}G$ group, as well as the ${}^{6}S_{5/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}D$ group, exceed considerably the antiferromagnetic resonance frequency of $MnF_2 (\approx 9 \text{ cm}^{-1}), [13, 14]$ they are close to the values of the exchange splitting of the levels of the excited states ${}^{4}G$ and ${}^{4}D$. [8-10]

A magnetic field up to H = 190 kOe oriented at right-angles to the tetragonal axis does not change either in the ${}^{6}S \rightarrow {}^{4}G$ region or the ${}^{6}S \rightarrow {}^{4}D$ region (Fig. 1b). However, such a strong field, if oriented along the C₄ axis causes considerable changes in the region of the ${}^{6}S \rightarrow {}^{4}D$ transition (Fig. 1c): 1) an intense band at 28026 cm^{-1} is displaced toward short wavelengths by $18-20 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; 2) the 28239 cm^{-1} band is split by the 190 kOe field into a 28207/28254 cm⁻¹ doublet with its center of gravity displaced by 10 cm⁻¹ toward long wavelengths and the long-wavelength component considerably stronger; 3) a weak band at 28160 cm^{-1} also splits into a 28126/28162 cm⁻¹ doublet with both components considerably more intense than the original band; the center of gravity is again displaced toward long wavelengths by about 13 cm⁻¹; 4) the 28295 cm⁻¹ band is displaced toward short wavelengths by 17 cm^{-1} ; 5) weak shortwavelength satellites become strongly broadened so that their frequencies cannot be measured.

The influence of a 190 kOe field $H \parallel C_4$ on the structure of the ${}^6S \rightarrow {}^4D$ transition is illustrated schematically in Fig. 2.

Measurements carried out in fields of lower intensity show that the effect described appears quite clearly in fields of about 90–100 kOe. Fig-



ure 3 illustrates the field dependences of the band frequencies observed in the region of the ${}^{6}S_{5/2} \rightarrow {}^{4}D$ transition in MnF₂. The strong change can be seen particularly clearly in the 90—100 kOe region, which coincides with the value of the field H_c producing the magnetic sublattice reversal in MnF₂^[5]. This, and the fact that the magnetooptical effect is only observed for H || C₄, the only case when sublattice reversal can be expected, ^[5] allows us to conclude that both effects are closely related.

We take this opportunity to express our deep gratitude to Corresponding Member of the Ukrainian Academy of Sciences B. I. Verkin and Professor A. S. Borovik-Romanov for their interest in this work, as well as to N. N. Mikhaĭlov and S. P. Petrov for kindly supplying the single crystals.

¹C. V. Stager, J. Appl. Phys. **34**, 1232 (1963).

³ A. S. Borovik-Romanov, Itogi nauki, Fiz.-mat. nauki, 4, Antiferromagnetizm (Progress in Sciences, Physico-mathematical Sciences, 4, Antiferromagnetism), AN SSSR, 1962.

⁴S. Foner, Phys. Rev. **107**, 683 (1957).

²R. A. Erickson, Phys. Rev. **90**, 779 (1953).

⁵I. S. Jacobs, J. Appl. Phys., Suppl. **32**, 61S (1961).

⁶ V. V. Eremenko and Yu. A. Popkov, UFZh 8, 88 (1963).

⁷Kapitza, Strelkov, and Lauerman, JETP 8, 276 (1938).

⁸J. W. Stout, J. Chem. Phys. **31**, 709 (1959).

⁹ Finlayson, Robertson, Smith, and Stevenson, Proc. Phys. Soc. (London) **76**, 355 (1960).

¹⁰ A. I. Belyaeva and V. V. Eremenko, JETP 44, 469 (1963), Soviet Phys. JETP 17, 319 (1963).

¹¹ V. V. Eremenko and A. I. Belyaeva, FTT **5**, 2877 (1963), Soviet Phys Solid State **5**, 2106 (1964).

¹² R. Newman and R. M. Chrenko, Phys. Rev. **114**, 1507 (1959).

¹³ P. L. Richards, J. Appl. Phys. 34, 1237 (1963).
¹⁴ R. A. Erickson, Phys. Rev. 90, 779 (1953).

Translated by A. Tybulewicz 247