INTENSITY OF CERENKOV RADIATION WITH DISPERSION TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT

V. P. ZRELOV

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research

Submitted to JETP editor February 1, 1963

J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 45, 291-293 (August, 1963)

It is shown that significant errors can arise if the dispersion of the medium is neglected in calculating Cerenkov radiation close to threshold. The correct expressions are given.

THE Frank-Tamm formula^[1] for the Cerenkov energy radiated per unit length of path for a singly charged particle is

$$\frac{dW}{dl} = \frac{e^2}{c^2} \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta^2 n^2(\omega)}\right) \omega d\omega = \frac{e^2}{c^2} \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} \sin^2 \theta(\omega) \, \omega d\omega.$$
 (1)

In the calculation of Cerenkov energy losses of charged particles it is standard practice to neglect dispersion $n(\omega)$ and to take the bracketed term $[1 - \beta^{-2} n^{-2} (\omega)]$ outside the integral (in which case n is taken for some mean wavelength $\overline{\lambda}$); the following expression is used in these calculations:

$$dW/dl \approx 2\pi^2 e^2 \left(1 - \beta^{-2} n^{-2} \left(\overline{\lambda}\right)\right) \left(\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2\right) / \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2.$$
(2)

At large Cerenkov angles this procedure does not introduce any significant error in the determination of dW/dl; however, neglect of dispersion in the medium does have an important effect on the calculation at small radiation angles (close to threshold).

If one assumes than $n(\lambda)$ is given by the twoterm Cauchy formula, which is a rather good description of the function $n(\lambda)$ in the region of normal dispersion,

$$n(\lambda) = a + b\lambda^{-2}, \qquad (3)$$

then the change of radiation angle θ with wavelength is given by the derivative

$$d\theta / d\lambda = - 2b / \lambda^3 n \text{ tg } \theta.$$
 (4)*

At threshold $(\theta \sim 0)$ the derivative in (4) is large (especially in the blue region of the spectrum). Thus, near threshold the radiation angle will be a strong function of λ ; it then follows from (1) that the energy loss is also a strong function of λ .

Using the function $n(\lambda)$ given in (3) one can obtain a simple expression for the radiated energy per unit length of path in the wavelength region between λ_1 and λ_2 :

$$dW / dl = 2\pi^2 e^2 (1 - 1 / \beta^2 n (\lambda_1) n (\lambda_2)) (\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2) / \lambda_1^2 \lambda_2^2.$$
 (5)

It is evident from a comparison of (2) and (5) that an account of the dispersion $n(\lambda)$ in the expression for the radiated energy leads only to the simple replacement of $n^2(\overline{\lambda})$ by $n(\lambda_1) n(\lambda_2)$.

Account of the dispersion in the form given in (3) in the expression for the number of Cerenkov photons dN/dl emitted by a particle per unit length of path leads to a more complicated expression:

$$\frac{dN}{dl} = 2\pi\alpha \left\{ \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} - \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left[\frac{\lambda_2 n (\lambda_2) - \lambda_1 n (\lambda_1)}{2a\lambda_1 \lambda_2 n (\lambda_1) n (\lambda_2)} + \frac{1}{2a \sqrt{ab}} \left(\operatorname{arctg} \frac{a\lambda_2}{\sqrt{ab}} - \operatorname{arctg} \frac{a\lambda_1}{\sqrt{ab}} \right) \right] \right\},$$
(6)*

Here $\alpha = e^2/\hbar c = 1/137$. The second term in the rectangular brackets in (6) is equal to the first to within several percent (approximately 5%). Hence, (6) can be written approximately as

$$\frac{dN}{dl} \approx 2\pi\alpha \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{\beta^2} \frac{\lambda_2 n (\lambda_2) - \lambda_1 n (\lambda_1)}{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) n (\lambda_1) n (\lambda_2) a} \right\},$$
(7)

where $2\pi\alpha = 0.04585$ and $\lambda_2 > \lambda_1$.

When $n(\lambda_2) = n(\lambda_1) = n(\overline{\lambda})$ and $a \approx n(\overline{\lambda})$, (7) assumes the usual form

$$dN/dl \approx 2\pi \alpha (1 - \beta^{-2} n^{-2} (\overline{\lambda})) (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) / \lambda_1 \lambda_2.$$
 (8)

In order to see the difference in the results obtained with (6) and (8) we calculate the number of photons obtained from these expressions for several values of β and an actual dispersion relation n (λ) corresponding to K7 glass (n_D = 1.5142):

$$n(\lambda) = 1.5020 + 0.42085 \cdot 10^{-10} \lambda^{-2}$$

where λ is given in centimeters. In the wavelength region between $\lambda_1 = 3000$ Å and $\lambda_2 = 6563$ Å the calculation based on (6) with $\beta = 0.66$ gives 13.4 photons/cm whereas the calculation according to (8) with $\overline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)/2$ gives 5.7 photons/cm, that is to say, a result differing by 2.4 times. At high velocities the difference in the number of photons

^{*}tg = tan.

^{*}arctg = tan⁻¹.

β	$\theta(\bar{\lambda})$ with $\bar{\lambda}$ from (9)	dN) dl ,	photons/cm	
		Eq. (6)	Eq. (8) with $\overline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)/2$	Eq. (8) with $\overline{\lambda}$ from (9)
$1.0 \\ 0.90 \\ 0.80$	49°0′ 43°11′ 34°56′	474 391 274	471 387 269	473 389 272
$0.00 \\ 0.70 \\ 0.68 \\ 0.66$	20°26′ 15°18′ 6°24′	$ \begin{array}{r} 214 \\ 104 \\ 60,7 \\ 13.4 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 203 \\ 97.2 \\ 53.2 \\ 5.7 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 101 \\ 57.6 \\ 10.1 \end{array} $

as computed by the two expressions is smaller, as is shown graphically in the table.

The calculations show that the error in the determination of dN/dl by the simple formula (8) can be reduced if the refractive index is taken for a mean wavelength defined by the following expression:

$$\overline{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda_2 \lambda_1}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} \ln \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} . \tag{9}$$

The value of dN/dl for $\overline{\lambda}$ given by (9) is given in the last column of the table.

A correct calculation of the Cerenkov radiation intensity is especially important in the design of differential (angle) Cerenkov counters operating at angles $\theta = 5^{\circ} - 10^{\circ}$, where an error in dN/dl can lead either to an incorrect increase in the length of the counter or to a low value of the efficiency for particle detection as compared with the computed value.

¹I. E. Tamm and I. M. Frank, DAN SSSR 14, 107 (1937).

Translated by H. Lashinsky 49