energy  $E_a/a$ . Therefore, we have the following expressions for  $\overline{U}_a$ :

$$\overline{U}_a(E_a,\beta) \approx |\varepsilon_a| - |\varepsilon| + a \,\overline{U}(E_a/a,\beta).$$
 (6)

Here  $\epsilon_a$  is the binding energy of particles of the same type as the incident ones in the nucleus and  $\overline{U}(E_a/a, \beta)$  can be obtained from Eqs. (3) and (4). From this formula and the preceding ones it follows that bombardment of a nucleus by complex particles is advantageous with respect to obtaining high excitation energies of the remaining nucleus. From analysis of the graph in the figure, we conclude that there is little chance of a cascade for  $E_a/a \leq 30$  to 50 MeV and that nuclear reactions here go through formation of the compound nucleus.

In conclusion, I would like to thank M. M. Agrest and I. M. Rozman for advice and discussion, and N. V. Khaikhyan for calculational help.

<sup>1</sup>R. Serber, Phys. Rev. **72**, 1114 (1947); M. L. Goldberger, Phys. Rev. **74**, 1269 (1948).

<sup>2</sup>Bernardini, Booth, and Lindenbaum, Phys. Rev. 85, 826 (1952); 88, 1017 (1952); Anthology, Nuclear Reactions at High Energies (Russ. Transl.) Chapt. II, 19-126 (1954).

<sup>3</sup>V. I. Ostroumov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 103, 409 (1955); J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. 32, 3 (1957), Soviet Phys. JETP 5, 12 (1957).

<sup>4</sup>G. C. Wick, Phys. Rev. **75**, 738 (1949).

<sup>5</sup> Dzhelepov, Kazarinov, Golovin, Flyagin and Satarov, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Fiz. 19, 573 (1955), Columbia Tech. Transl. p. 513.

<sup>6</sup>McManus, Sharp, and Gell-Mann, Phys. Rev. 93, 924 A (1954); B. Rossi, in Anthology, High Energy Particles (Russ. Transl.), GITTL, M. 1955, p. 433.

Translated by G. E. Brown 167

## A METHOD OF EVALUATING ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY

V. L. BONCH-BRUEVICH

Moscow State University

Submitted to JETP editor September 15, 1958

J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 36, 924-926 (March, 1959)

IT is well known that in the electron theory of solids the standard transport equation method meets with a number of difficulties (see, e.g.,

references 1-3). A number of authors have in this connection in recent years made attempts to construct an accurate theory of the transport coefficients using relaxation functions.<sup>4-7</sup> In the present note we give a new method to evaluate the reaction of a system of particles to an external field, using quantum-mechanical Green functions. To be specific, we shall deal with the electrical conductivity, but it will be clear from the results that the method could be equally well used to evaluate any parameter characterizing the reaction of the system. We note also that to apply the general results to the Bose case one needs only change the sign in some of the intermediate equations. It is obvious that if one wants to evaluate the average current produced in the system by the action of an external electrical field it is sufficient to find the change in the "one-particle" density matrix  $R_1(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; t)$ . It was shown in reference 8 that this matrix is connected with the one-fermion Green function through the equation

$$R_2(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; t) = i \lim G(x, y) \text{ as } x_0 \to y_0 = t, x_0 < y_0$$
 (1)

(x, y, are points in four-dimensional space, the spin indices are omitted for the sake of simplicity). Let the field be characterized by the four-potential  $A_i$  (i = 0, 1, 2, 3,  $A_0 = -\varphi$ ,  $\varphi$  is the scalar potential). If we are interested only in effects which are linear in the field we have for the change in the Green function

$$\Delta G(x, y) = (e/\hbar) \int dz dz' dz'' G_0(x_1 z')$$
  
 
$$\times \Gamma_i(z', z''; z) G_0(z'', y) A_i(z), \qquad (2)$$

where  $\Gamma_i = -\hbar G^{-1}(z', z'')/\delta e A_i(z)$  is the "electromagnetic" vertex part and  $G_0$  the Green function for  $A_i = 0$ .

For a number of problems it is sufficient to restrict the discussion to the case of spatial uniformity, when  $(\hbar = 1)$ 

$$G_0(x, y) = \int dp G_0(p) e^{-i(p_{\bullet}x - y)} ,$$
  

$$\Gamma_i(x, y; z) = (-2\pi)^{-8} \int dq' dq'' \Gamma_i(q', q'') e^{-i(q', x - z) + i(q'', y - z)}$$
(3)

 $(px = p_0x_0 - p \cdot x)$ . We shall also assume  $A_i =$ 

 $A_{mie}$ -ikz-s| $z_0$ | (s is a small positive number; if damping is present, e.g., when the electrical conductivity is finite, we can at once put s = 0). Equation (2) then gives

$$\Delta G(x, y) \Big|_{s=0} = eA_i(y)(2\pi)^4$$
  
 
$$\times \int dpG_0(p) \ G_0(p-k) \Gamma_i(p,p-k) e^{-i(p,x-y)}.$$

(4)

The electrical conductivity can be evaluated from this in a trivial manner.

As an illustration we shall consider the case of a constant field  $(A_{\alpha} = 0, \alpha = 1, 2, 3)$  in the approximation  $\Gamma_i(q', q'') = 1$ . We shall assume (compare reference 8; the equation has a meaning also for the determination of the Green function as an ensemble average)

$$G_{0}(p) = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{4}} \left\{ \frac{n_{p}}{p_{0} - \omega_{p} - i\gamma'_{p}} - \frac{1 - n_{p}}{p_{0} - \omega_{p} + i\gamma''_{p}} \right\}$$
$$(\omega, \dot{\gamma}', \gamma'' - \text{real}; \gamma', \gamma'' > 0).$$
(5)

We must note that Eq. (5) is by no means general (in particular, this assumption means that we restrict ourselves to one — perhaps spin-degenerateband). A number of interesting cases, however, are included here. One can easily evaluate  $\Delta G(x, y)$ under the given conditions. Taking (1) into account we get for the change in the occupation numbers in momentum space:

(a) if 
$$\gamma' = \gamma'' = \eta \rightarrow 0$$
:  

$$\Delta n_{\mathbf{p}} = -\frac{eE_{\alpha}}{s + ik_0} \frac{\partial n_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial p_{\alpha}}; \qquad (6)$$

(b) if  $\gamma'$ ,  $\gamma''$  are finite and  $k_0 = 0$  (the calculation is also simple for  $k_0 \neq 0$ , but leads to a more unwieldy result):

$$\Delta n_{\mathbf{p}} = -eE_{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\alpha}}\frac{n_{\mathbf{p}}\left(1-n_{\mathbf{p}}\right)}{\gamma_{\mathbf{p}}}.$$
(7)

Here **E** is the field strength (with potential  $\varphi$ ),  $\gamma_{\mathbf{p}} = \gamma'_{\mathbf{p}} + \gamma''_{\mathbf{p}}$ . The right hand side of (6) agrees, as was to be expected, exactly with the "accelerating" term of the transport equation, if we understand by s<sup>-1</sup> the time of action of the field. The static electrical conductivity tensor has from (7) the form (in the usual units, m is the true electron mass)

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{e^2}{m} \frac{2}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \int d\mathbf{p} \, \rho_{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho_{\beta}} \frac{n_{\mathbf{p}} \left(1 - n_{\mathbf{p}}\right)}{\gamma_{\mathbf{p}}} \,. \tag{8}$$

In the particular case of Boltzmann statistics and and isotropic model  $(n_p \sim \exp(-\hbar\omega_p/kT), \omega_p = \omega(|p|), \gamma_p = \gamma(|p|))$  Eq. (7) agrees formally with the result of applying the transport equation, if we take for the "relaxation time" the quantity

$$\tau_p = \frac{1}{\gamma_p} \left[ 1 + kT \, \frac{\partial \ln \gamma_p}{\partial \hbar \omega_p} \right]. \tag{9}$$

We emphasize, however, that Eq. (8) is valid over a far wider range than the transport equation. <sup>3</sup>A. G. Samo'llovich and L. L. Korenblit, Usp. Fiz. Nauk **49**, 337 (1953).

<sup>4</sup> R. Kubo and K. Tumita, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 9, 888 (1954); R. Kubo, Can. J. Phys. 34, 1274 (1956); J. Phys. Soc. Japan 12, 570 (1957).

<sup>5</sup> H. Nakano, Progr. Theoret. Phys. 15, 77 (1956); 17, 145 (1957).

<sup>6</sup>R. Zigenlaub, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 117, 395 (1957); Soviet Phys. Doklady 2, 505 (1957).

<sup>7</sup>M. I. Klinger, J. Tech. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 27, 2780 (1957), Soviet Phys. JTP 2, 2578 (1957).

<sup>8</sup>V. L. Bonch-Bruevich, J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) **30**, 342 (1956); Soviet Phys. JETP **3**, 278 (1956).

Translated by D. ter Haar 168

## THERMODYNAMIC THEORY OF FERRO-MAGNETIC ABSORPTION

## V. L. BESHIDZE

Sukhumi Pedagogical Institute

- Received by JETP editor December 19, 1957; resubmitted September 29, 1958
- J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 36, 926-928 (March, 1959)

F a ferromagnet is in an alternating magnetic field, its macroscopic state changes; this means that the specimen is absorbing energy from the field (ferromagnetic absorption). The basic causes of ferromagnetic absorption are, as is known, the following: the nonvanishing time for establishment of equilibrium within the spin system (intraspin relaxation), and the nonvanishing time for establishment of equilibrium between the spin system and the lattice (spin-lattice relaxation). In this article it is shown that for an isotropic ferromagnetic medium near the Curie temperature, it is possible to determine the law of ferromagnetic resonance if the specific form of the thermodynamic potential is known.

A nonequilibrium state of the system can be described by a thermodynamic potential that depends on nonequilibrium thermodynamic parameters. We suppose that a ferromagnet without hysteresis is in an external magnetic field, and that the amplitudes of the constant and alternating fields are pointed in mutually perpendicular planes (the case of perpendicular fields). In the second case  $H_0$  and **h** are pointed along the z axis

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> L. E. Gurevich, Основы физической кинетики (<u>Fundamentals of Physical Kinetics</u>) Gostekhizdat, 1940.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>S. I. Pekar, Usp. Fiz. Nauk **60**, 191 (1956).