CORRELATION BETWEEN THE DIRECTION OF AN INTERNAL BREMSSTRAHLUNG QUANTUM AND CIRCULAR POLARIZATION OF A GAMMA QUANTUM EMITTED BY AN EXCITED NUCLEUS AFTER K CAPTURE

G. M. GANDEL' MAN

Submitted to JETP editor August 23, 1958

J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 36, 585-587 (February, 1959)

The correlation between the γ quantum from radiative K capture and the circularlypolarized γ quantum from an excited nucleus is studied. A general formula is derived for the correlation and for its dependence on the spins of the initial, excited, and final states of the nuclei.

T is well known that along with ordinary K capture one observes radiative K capture, which is frequently called internal bremsstrahlung, in which a continuous spectrum of γ quanta, up to the maximum energy W_0 , is produced. If the nucleus is produced here in the excited state, circular polarization of the γ quantum of the excited nucleus can be observed in the direction of emission of the bremsstrahlung γ quantum. This offers an interesting possibility of determining the spin of excited states of nuclei formed in K capture.

In the case of electronic β decay, the spins of the excited nuclei can be determined by studying the correlation of the electrons and the circular polarization of the γ quantum of the excited nucleus.¹

The correlation considered by us occurs unconditionally only if parity is not conserved in K capture. It is not necessary here to measure the energy of the bremsstrahlung quantum. This finds its expression in the fact that the considered correlation is of the form

$$W(\theta) \approx 1 + A\tau \cos \theta, \qquad (1)$$

where θ is the angle between the directions of the two γ quanta, and τ equals +1 or -1 respectively for right- and left-handed polarized γ quanta.

Let us dwell briefly on a derivation of this formula for the case of the A, V variant of the β interaction.

The matrix element for radiation K capture is:

$$R = \sqrt{4\pi/2k_0} \left(ge/2mk_0 \right) \Phi(0) \left\{ \bar{\nu} \Lambda \hat{k} \,\hat{\varepsilon} \, e \right\}; \tag{2}$$

 $\Phi(0)$ is the wave function of the K electron in the nuclear region, ϵ is the polarization vector of the bremsstrahlung γ quantum, k and k_0 are respectively the momentum and energy of bremsstrahlung γ quantum, and Λ is the matrix of the β interaction:

$$\Lambda = (\psi_f | 1 | \psi_i) \gamma_0 (C_V^{\bullet} - iC_V^{\bullet} \gamma_5)$$
$$- i(\psi_f | \sigma_{\alpha} | \psi_i) (C_A^{\bullet} + iC_A^{\bullet} \gamma_5) \gamma_{\alpha} \gamma_5.$$
(3)

We have to find the density matrix ρ_R for the radiation K capture, and then multiply it by the density matrix ρ_{γ} for the γ transition $J_f \rightarrow J_{ff}$.

The density matrix ρ_R of the radiative K capture is

$$(\rho_R)_{M_f M_f} \sim \sum_{s_v, s_I} (J_f M_f | H_R | J_i M_i) (J_f M_f' | H_R | J_i M_i)^*, \quad (4)$$
$$H_R = \bar{\nu} \Lambda \hat{k} \hat{\epsilon} e. \quad (5)$$

The summation extends over the spins of the emitted neutrino and the captured electron. M_f is the magnetic quantum number of the excited nucleus, J_i is the initial spin of the nucleus, J_f is the spin of the excited state, and J_{ff} is the spin of the final nucleus after emission of the γ quantum.

We shall not perform a complete calculation of $\rho_{\rm R}$, and will write the result for the interference term (between A and V) of the $\rho_{\rm R}$ matrix. This term equals

 $-2mk_0 4q_0 M_F (C_V^* C_A^{'} + C_A C_V^{'*}) (J_f M_f^{'} | \sigma_{\alpha} | J_i M_i)^* k_{\alpha} \delta (M_f, M_i)$

$$- 2mk_0 4q_0 M_F^* (C_V C_A^{'*} + C_A^* C_V^{'})$$
$$\times (J_f M_f | \sigma_\alpha | J_i M_i) k_\alpha \delta (M_f^{'}, M_i);$$
(6)

 M_F is the Fermi matrix element.

A similar result is obtained when calculating the matrix ρ for positron β decay, but k_{α} is replaced by the corresponding positron momentum component p_{α} . This pertains also to other terms of the density matrix ρ .

Starting with the above we can write an expression for the coefficient A in (1), using the results of Alder, Stech, and Winther² on $\beta - \gamma$ correlation.

Here the electron momentum must be replaced by the bremsstrahlung γ -quantum momentum, and

since $k/k_0 = 1$, A is independent of the energy of the bremsstrahlung γ quantum:

$$A = \frac{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \left[\sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} \delta_{\lambda} \delta_{\lambda'} F_{1}(\lambda,\lambda',J_{ff},J_{f}) \right] \left\{ \frac{J_{f}(J_{f}+1) - J_{i}(J_{i}+1) + 2}{[J_{f}(J_{f}+1)]^{1/2}} |M_{GT}|^{2} (C_{A}C_{A}^{'*} + C_{A}^{'}C_{A}^{*}) + 4M_{F}M_{GT} \operatorname{Re}(C_{V}C_{A}^{'*} + C_{V}^{'}C_{A}^{*}) \right\}}{\left\{ |M_{F}|^{2} (|C_{V}|^{2} + |C_{V}^{'}|^{2}) + |M_{GT}|^{2} (|C_{A}|^{2} + |C_{A}^{'}|^{2}) \right\} \sum_{\lambda} \delta_{\lambda}^{2}}$$
(7)

By way of an interesting example, let us consider the K capture

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Be}^{7} \rightarrow \mathrm{Li}^{7^{\bullet}} + \nu + \gamma_{\mathrm{brem}} \\ \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Li}^{7} + \gamma \end{array}$$

There are two possibilities, $\frac{3}{2} \xrightarrow{\beta} \frac{1}{2} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \frac{3}{2}$ or $\frac{3}{2} \xrightarrow{\beta} \frac{3}{2} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \frac{3}{2}$. The excited state, in all probability,

 $\gamma_2 \rightarrow \gamma_2 \rightarrow \gamma_2$. The excited state, in all probability, emits a quadrupole γ quantum, i.e., $\lambda = \lambda' = 2$. In the first case of a pure Gamow-Teller tran-

sition (for the 2-component neutrino and real C_V and C_A), $A = \frac{1}{6}$. In the second case

$$A = -0.13 \frac{(4/\sqrt{15}) C_A^2 |M_{GT}|^2 + 4M_F M_{GT} C_V C_A}{G_V^2 M_F^2 + C_A^2 M_{GT}^2}$$
$$x = M_F C_V / M_{GT} C_A.$$
$$= -0.13 \frac{1.03 + 4x}{1 + x^2}, \qquad (8)$$

In the second case A is close to $\frac{1}{6}$ and is posi-

tive only when $x \sim -1$. The contribution of the interference terms is quite large here.

Thus, an investigation of the correlation of the γ quantum of radiative K capture and of a circularly-polarized γ quantum of an excited nucleus can yield interesting information on the spin of the excited state, or else, if J_f is known, it yields data on the role of the interference terms in the β interaction. The correlation considered is analogous in many respects to the β - γ correlation.

In conclusion, I thank Ya. B. Zel'dovich for attention to and interest in this work.

¹ F. Boehm and A. H. Wapstra, Phys. Rev. 106, 1364 (1957).

²Alder, Stech, and Winther, Phys. Rev. 107, 728 (1957).

Translated by J. G. Adashko 96